

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_122112
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening Urban Tree Species for Air Quality Enhancement – A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The contribution of trees could be substantially increased if we strategically plant a large number of trees and provide long-term stewardship to maximize their health and longevity. This will maximize their benefit potential and provide us with future energy savings and improved air quality.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes title of the article is short and clear expressing what the review is about without use of unnecessary words.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes the abstract of the review article is comprehensive. It is concise and significance of the topic is clearly written.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes the manuscript is well structured and the sections and subsections are well organized and appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is well-structured, synthesizes the available evidence pertaining to the topic, convey a clear message and draw conclusion supported by data analysis. However, more updated references (2023, 2024) should be there for those readers interested in broadening their knowledge.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, references are suitable. Few more references should be added from current year or of 2023.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Quality of English in the article is suitable for scholarly communications. Full form of abbreviations are used wherever required.	
Optional/General comments	Overall, the manuscript is well written. It should indicate the gap lapse of the review and also the importance of the review article that how it is different and unique from other reviews on the same topic.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayesha Baig Sarim
Department, University & Country	Punjab University, Pakistan