

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_122103
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRIENT STATUS OF SOIL OF SUGARCANE AREA OF NAVSARI DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript focus on using satellite data for land demarcation, landscape and identification soil sampling points which is good specifically to get the representative soil samples for the soil nutrient analysis	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title may be reviewed like Evaluating soil nutrient status of the sugarcane growing areas of Navsari district	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	OK	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Need to add objective and include data on the farmers' current soil fertility management practises that could be detrimental in comparing the variation in the soil nutrient status of different places	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is scientifically correct however need to add more data to support the findings	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	OK	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	OK	
Optional/General comments	The Manuscript is good, however there is a scope to add more data and make it more scientific. Objective is missing. Conclusion is too short. Introduction needs to be reviewed. Refer the comment on the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suraj Chhetri
Department, University & Country	National Soil Services Center, Bhutan