

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_121749
Title of the Manuscript:	Modelling and Forecasting of Monthly Crude oil Prices in Kenya: A Comparison Based on ARIMA, Naive, Seasonal Naïve, TSLM and ETS Models
Type of the Article	Return to author for MINOR revisions

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>																												
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="994 401 1071 457">No</th> <th data-bbox="1071 401 1225 457">Section</th> <th data-bbox="1225 401 2226 457">Comment(s)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="994 457 1071 514">1.</td> <td data-bbox="1071 457 1225 514">Title</td> <td data-bbox="1225 457 2226 514">The methods used are included in the project title.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="994 514 1071 842">2.</td> <td data-bbox="1071 514 1225 842">Abstract</td> <td data-bbox="1225 514 2226 842"> <p>The whole project is described and easy to understand with suitable language usage. Methodology, tools, and the analysis of results are included. However,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The problem or the purpose of the study is not clearly stated. A sample of the data should be mentioned. The result can be further improvised by only focusing on the main accuracy measure that is used for selection of the best model. This is to avoid confusion from readers. The sentences regarding MASE and RMSSE should be rephrase for better understanding. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="994 842 1071 1220" rowspan="4">3.</td> <td data-bbox="1071 842 1225 1220" rowspan="4">Introduction and Literature Reviews</td> <td data-bbox="1225 842 2226 898">Motivation and problem statements are clearly stated and described.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1225 898 2226 955">Objectives are clearly designed to address the problem statements.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1225 955 2226 1136"> <p>Methodology, tools, and analysis are introduced with clear reviews of existing (past) knowledge/studies. However,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The author has already discussed why alternatives methods are proposed as compared to ARIMA or SARIMA except for TSLM. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1225 1136 2226 1220">Defining scope of project and limitations of the study.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="994 1220 1071 1276">4.</td> <td data-bbox="1071 1220 1225 1276">Methodology</td> <td data-bbox="1225 1220 2226 1276">Mathematical/statistical methods are clearly discussed and justified.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="994 1276 1071 1396" rowspan="2">5.</td> <td data-bbox="1071 1276 1225 1396" rowspan="2">Results and Discussion</td> <td data-bbox="1225 1276 2226 1333">The results reflect the objectives and methods used.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1225 1333 2226 1396">The study used suitable techniques and software to represent the results.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="994 1396 1071 1808" rowspan="2">6.</td> <td data-bbox="1071 1396 1225 1808" rowspan="2">Results and Discussion Conclusion and Recommendation</td> <td data-bbox="1225 1396 2226 1661"> <p>Adequate analysis of the results. However, the discussion for Table 4 can be improvised by</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initially state the model that has highest and lowest of ME and MASE, etc. Explain the highest and the lowest value in terms of bias and scaled error The author should introduce Winker, Pinball, Scaled Pinball and CRPS. Discuss on which model consistently has the lowest and highest scores across the metrics </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1225 1661 2226 1808"> <p>Objective achieved and thoroughly concluded. However,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please justify the statement: The absence of seasonality in the data. </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	No	Section	Comment(s)	1.	Title	The methods used are included in the project title.	2.	Abstract	<p>The whole project is described and easy to understand with suitable language usage. Methodology, tools, and the analysis of results are included. However,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The problem or the purpose of the study is not clearly stated. A sample of the data should be mentioned. The result can be further improvised by only focusing on the main accuracy measure that is used for selection of the best model. This is to avoid confusion from readers. The sentences regarding MASE and RMSSE should be rephrase for better understanding. 	3.	Introduction and Literature Reviews	Motivation and problem statements are clearly stated and described.	Objectives are clearly designed to address the problem statements.	<p>Methodology, tools, and analysis are introduced with clear reviews of existing (past) knowledge/studies. However,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The author has already discussed why alternatives methods are proposed as compared to ARIMA or SARIMA except for TSLM. 	Defining scope of project and limitations of the study.	4.	Methodology	Mathematical/statistical methods are clearly discussed and justified.	5.	Results and Discussion	The results reflect the objectives and methods used.	The study used suitable techniques and software to represent the results.	6.	Results and Discussion Conclusion and Recommendation	<p>Adequate analysis of the results. However, the discussion for Table 4 can be improvised by</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initially state the model that has highest and lowest of ME and MASE, etc. Explain the highest and the lowest value in terms of bias and scaled error The author should introduce Winker, Pinball, Scaled Pinball and CRPS. Discuss on which model consistently has the lowest and highest scores across the metrics 	<p>Objective achieved and thoroughly concluded. However,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please justify the statement: The absence of seasonality in the data. 	
No	Section	Comment(s)																										
1.	Title	The methods used are included in the project title.																										
2.	Abstract	<p>The whole project is described and easy to understand with suitable language usage. Methodology, tools, and the analysis of results are included. However,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The problem or the purpose of the study is not clearly stated. A sample of the data should be mentioned. The result can be further improvised by only focusing on the main accuracy measure that is used for selection of the best model. This is to avoid confusion from readers. The sentences regarding MASE and RMSSE should be rephrase for better understanding. 																										
3.	Introduction and Literature Reviews	Motivation and problem statements are clearly stated and described.																										
		Objectives are clearly designed to address the problem statements.																										
		<p>Methodology, tools, and analysis are introduced with clear reviews of existing (past) knowledge/studies. However,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The author has already discussed why alternatives methods are proposed as compared to ARIMA or SARIMA except for TSLM. 																										
		Defining scope of project and limitations of the study.																										
4.	Methodology	Mathematical/statistical methods are clearly discussed and justified.																										
5.	Results and Discussion	The results reflect the objectives and methods used.																										
		The study used suitable techniques and software to represent the results.																										
6.	Results and Discussion Conclusion and Recommendation	<p>Adequate analysis of the results. However, the discussion for Table 4 can be improvised by</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initially state the model that has highest and lowest of ME and MASE, etc. Explain the highest and the lowest value in terms of bias and scaled error The author should introduce Winker, Pinball, Scaled Pinball and CRPS. Discuss on which model consistently has the lowest and highest scores across the metrics 																										
		<p>Objective achieved and thoroughly concluded. However,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please justify the statement: The absence of seasonality in the data. 																										

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farah Azaliney Mohd Amin
Department, University & Country	College of Computing, Informatics and Media, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia