

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_121070
Title of the Manuscript:	A GIS-BASED APPROACH FOR ASSESSING URBAN DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL IN FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The current theme of the manuscript has a good relevance. In today's time, urban sprawl has become a very concerning issues. The problem is increasing urban area is entering to rural boundaries and affecting the rural landscape. There is reckless cutting of trees and agricultural land is getting converted to concrete jungles. In this light it is important to understand the dynamics in urban expansion for proper planning.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable according to the objectives and content.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract has included all the important things that are required.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, subsections are properly mentioned.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article has utilized the relevant techniques including Analytical Hierarchical Process, Geographic Information System, and Remote Sensing. The methodology is strong, which gives an edge to the article. The strong literature review has also refined the article.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and relevant. But there are few references which are missing. The author should match in-text citations and references given at the end.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is suitable for the scholarly communication	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall the article is fine for publication. Few changes are required 1. There are few repetitions in Introduction. It can be made more comprehensive and up to the mark. 2. The description of study area can also be reduced according to the need of the article. Description about the indigenous people are not required in the article.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Twinkle Vaid
Department, University & Country	Himachal Pradesh University, India