

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_121894
Title of the Manuscript:	The Relationship between Teachers motivation factors and sustainable management of school projects: A Case Study of secondary schools in Sumbawanga municipality
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)																		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>In general, the manuscript is on a necessary topic for education officials as well as school leaders and teachers. This indicates the multilevel and importance of project work. Despite the shortcomings shown below, it is fact-rich and hopefully useful for the experienced reader, researcher.</p>																			
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is suitable.</p>																			
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is satisfactory.</p>																			
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The structure and subsections are appropriate, in general. But the content would be clearer, if in 4.1 and elsewhere the relevant aspect/question was explained before the answer table, not after it. (Look below.)</p>																			
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I recommend more clear explaining questions/aspects of subsections and tables. For example, in 4.1.4 (look below) it is not clear how the Gratitude Motivation was obtained - was it an answer to the question (which question?); or what "agree, ..." refers to.</p> <p>4.1.4 Gratitude Motivation</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1012 1100 2071 1310"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Frequency</th> <th>Percentage (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Agree</td> <td>94</td> <td>40.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Strongly Agree</td> <td>90</td> <td>38.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Disagree</td> <td>34</td> <td>14.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Strongly Disagree</td> <td>16</td> <td>6.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>234</td> <td>100.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Some sub-section headings need to be clearer. For example, 4.2.2 Play a Great Role in Managing School Projects Sustainably.</p> <p>So the manuscript needs some further finishing.</p>		Frequency	Percentage (%)	Agree	94	40.2	Strongly Agree	90	38.5	Disagree	34	14.5	Strongly Disagree	16	6.8	Total	234	100.0	
	Frequency	Percentage (%)																		
Agree	94	40.2																		
Strongly Agree	90	38.5																		
Disagree	34	14.5																		
Strongly Disagree	16	6.8																		
Total	234	100.0																		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>:</p>	<p>References are sufficient, in general. But they must be in alphabetical order and correct! Look one below – this is not appropriate!</p> <p>Bryk, A and Schneider, B (2002) <i>trust in schools A Core resource for improvement</i>. New York Russell sage foundation.</p>																			

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I recommend to overview the language/English of the article. There are errors in punctuation, spelling, and singular-plural usage in Abstract and main text as well as some typographical errors. Look page 11 : <i>(F, I & WZ¹)</i> . There are some sloppy formatting that should be reviewed and corrected.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article needs to be refined, but it is undoubtedly necessary and hopefully also inviting to discussion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ene-Silvia Sarv
Department, University & Country	Tallinn University, Estonia