

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEE_121876</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluating seed viability and germination enhancement through plant growth hormones in <i>Anogeissus latifolia</i> (Roxb. ex DC.) Wall. Ex Guill. &amp; Perr.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is actually very useful and interesting as scientific information to the scientific communities across the globe just to enhance seed viability &amp; germination percentage through appropriate plant growth hormone (GA or IBA) with optimum doses. In turn, this further contributes to seedlings vigor, survival &amp; growth performance &amp; adaptation potential at nursery &amp; field. Finally, it has substantial contribution to forest development and cover and thereby important for tackling climate change &amp; addressing landscape restoration. So, I appreciate the author(s) for such important research.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Yes, I think so.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is good. But I suggest to be revised with the given comments in the Manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the structure is good. But, the author(s) have to include this &amp; given comments in the manuscript.  <b>Method part:</b> Should be write in detail supported by the relevant &amp; updated References.  <b>Result &amp; Discussion part:</b> The result is relatively well presented despite the need of revision &amp; other comments to be included. But, I didn't see a discission of the findings in relation with the previous findings &amp; supported by relevant &amp; update References (even a single Reference). So, firstly the Result &amp; discussion part should be presented separately in subsection. Then, the main findings have to be discussed very well in comparison with previous results. In this regard, the author(s) have to put more effort tod address the issue.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>I already mentioned in the 1<sup>st</sup> comment.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>Cited references are almost only for the Introduction part. But for the Method &amp; Discussion part almost no citation of references. So, more effort &amp; attention have to be required to include relevant &amp; updated References on these sections.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Overall, still the entire manuscript requires editing, grammer, proper terms, flow of ideas, sentence construction &amp; other communication gaps. So, I indicated some of the comments to be included.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>Overall, the Manuscript needs a lot of modification &amp; revision again and again after incorporated critical, major and minor comments given &amp; to be included in the entire manuscript.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tinsae Bahru Yifru</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ethiopia</b>