

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_121622
Title of the Manuscript:	Outcome of Azithromycin with Non-Surgical Periodontitis Therapy at Dental Hospital University of health Sciences Lao PDR.
Type of the Article	cross-sectional descriptive study

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As it does not meet any scientific importance and clinical relevance after only SRP has been attempted. And the medications used are systemic which have a minimal effect on the local effect on periodontitis and it does not significantly influence the plaque index and there is no ethical clearance attached.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It would be more relevant if it was mentioned as a comparative outcome of two different antibiotics.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There are studies which are clinically proven the effect of antibiotics in cases of periodontitis ,this manuscript merely provides any scientiufic evidence of effect these antibiotics.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	suitable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suchetha A
Department, University & Country	DAPM RV Dental College, India