

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_122271
Title of the Manuscript:	Economics of Cluster bean under Teak based Agroforestry System
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is very important for the scientific community as it provides a detailed economic analysis of an agroforestry system, specifically the cultivation of cluster bean under teak-based agroforestry. It broadens knowledge on agroforestry systems, providing valuable data for the development of sustainable and economically viable farming models.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the manuscript is appropriate. However, if needed, the addition of "An Economic Analysis" could further clarify the nature of the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides sufficient information regarding the objectives, methods, and findings of the study. No additions or deletions are necessary in this section.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are logical and appropriate for the research objectives. Each part is presented in a coherent order, from the introduction, methods, results, discussion, to the conclusion.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. The research methods applied are suitable for the study's objectives, and the data has been carefully and reasonably analyzed. The research findings are clearly interpreted and have significant practical value.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent, reflecting the latest research related to this topic.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used in the manuscript is of good quality and suitable for scholarly communications. However, a careful review of some sentences might be necessary to ensure clarity and accuracy.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript has great potential to contribute to agroforestry research, and the author should continue to develop this research direction with additional trials in different conditions to provide richer data.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Le Trung Hieu
Department, University & Country	Tra Vinh University, Vietnam