

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Biology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJOB_122225</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>GYNANDROMORPHISM IN SERICULTURE: A REVIEW</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is a compilation of findings related to occurrence of gyandromorphs in silkworm species. The future researchers in this area may find it useful.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract covers the gist of whole paper	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the Results and Discussions mentioned in Section 6 is in fact the conclusion, so corrected accordingly	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The earlier findings on gyandromorphs in insects have been narrated in the paper with special emphasis on silkworms viz. <i>Bombyx mori</i> , <i>Antheraea mylitta</i> , <i>A. assamensis</i> and the Lepidopteran <i>Attacus</i> . The authors have done a good job in compiling those information in this review paper.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are adequate, but not presented in the line of journal format. The references cited in different sections in the text also need attention as per the journal's requirement.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article has been edited in some places and if the authors agree to track changes, it can be a scholarly communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Prasanta Kumar Kar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>