

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_120135
Title of the Manuscript:	Baricitinib As A Novel Therapeutic Option for Rheumatoid Arthritis-Mechanism and Efficacy: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Yes Baricitinib is an upcoming and promising drug for rheumatoid arthritis. Hence a review article which looks into the various aspects of the drug is very relevant.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is fine but I feel the "mechanism and efficacy" part of the title can be omitted.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes but needs a little editing. The expansion of 'DMARDs' is not appropriate. Expansion of MTX has been mentioned nowhere in the article. Also the main drug discussed is spelt wrong in the KEYWORD section.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Most of the content is repetition. Though the title specifically mentions the role of Baricitinib in rheumatoid arthritis, the manuscript discusses its role in Alopecia areata and Covid as well which I feel is out of context.	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Yes The mechanism of action of the drug has been described well. Pharmacokinetics, dosage and adverse effects have also been discussed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes , they are appropriate. But the references need to be quoted in numerical order or they need to be numbered accordingly. For eg; ref no 17 is quoted between ref no 2 & 3. Ref no 9 & 10 appear between 4 & 5. Ref no 28,29,31 appear between ref no 5& 6. Also, once the reference number is quoted, I don't think the name of the authors of the concerned articles needs to be quoted again.	

Review Form 1.8

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript needs to be modified to make it more concise and relevant.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Reeta James
Department, University & Country	KMCT Medical College, India