

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_120135
Title of the Manuscript:	Baricitinib As A Novel Therapeutic Option for Rheumatoid Arthritis-Mechanism and Efficacy: A Review
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Yes, I think this review article has some importance to the medical field as it tried to summarize the available clinical information of Baricitinib as a novel anti-arthritis agent.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think the article title can be changed into "The Mechanism and Efficacy of Baricitinib as a Novel Therapeutic Option for Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Review Article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes, I think the abstract is comprehensive but it is missing the aim of this review article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, I think this review article has the proper structure.	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Yes, I think this manuscript is scientifically correct. However, authors are advised to discuss the statistics of Rheumatic arthritis prevalence and also discuss the other available therapeutic options.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes, the used references are recent but not sufficient. Some paragraphs and sentences were left with no citation. Also, citations were not in the right order.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English writing should be improved. Mistakes in grammar and punctuations like extra or missing spaces should be corrected. Writing quality should be improved to maintain ideas fluency.	
Optional/General comments	Some abbreviations like MTX should be stated out. Bacteria names should be written in the right style (italic style)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.8

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hasanain Abdulhameed Odhar
Department, University & Country	Al-Zahrawi University College, Iraq