

**Review Form 1.8**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Immunology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJI_120135</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Baricitinib As A Novel Therapeutic Option for Rheumatoid Arthritis-Mechanism and Efficacy: A Review</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.8**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Yes, this manuscript addresses an important therapeutic option for rheumatoid arthritis, highlighting the mechanisms and efficacy of Baricitinib. Its insights could significantly impact clinical practice and research in immunology.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate; however, a more concise alternative could be: "Baricitinib: Mechanisms and Efficacy in Rheumatoid Arthritis Therapy."	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	The abstract effectively summarizes the manuscript's key points but could benefit from more detailed findings or outcomes of Baricitinib studies.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The structure is logical and clear, with well-defined subsections aiding readability.	
<b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Overall, the manuscript appears scientifically sound, presenting robust data and comprehensive analyses relevant to the topic.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	The references are generally adequate but could be enhanced by including more recent studies from 2022 and 2023 to ensure comprehensiveness.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language is generally clear; however, a few grammatical adjustments and stylistic improvements are recommended for enhanced clarity.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.8**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ekta Soni</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sardar Patel University, India</b>