

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	AsianJournalofEducationandSocialStudies
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJESS_121415
TitleoftheManuscript:	UnderstandingPovertyAmongFishingCommunities:ACriticalReview
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalResearchArticle

[ReviewForm3](#)

PART1:ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author's Feedback <i>(Pleasecorrectthe manuscriptand highlight that partinthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)</i>
<p>Pleasewriteafewsentencesregardingtheimportance of thismanuscript for thescientific community. Why doyou like (or dislike) this manuscript?A minimum of 3-4sentencesmayberequiredforthispart.</p>	<p>This manuscript provides a comprehensive review of the multifaceted issues surrounding povertyamong fishing communities. It effectively highlights the socio-economic, environmental, andpolicy-related factors that contribute to the persistent poverty faced by fishermen, especially inIndonesia. The use of qualitative methods, including literature analysis and in-depth interviews,providesarichandnuancedunderstandingoftheissue.Themanuscript iswell-structured,with clear sections that guide the reader through the background, methodology, findings, andconclusions.</p>	
<p>Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)</p>	<p>The titleissuitableasitclearlyreflectsthecontentandfocusofthemanuscript.Itencapsulatesthecritical reviewaspectandthespecificcommunityunderstudy.</p>	
<p>Istheabstract of thearticle comprehensive? Doyousuggesttheaddition(ordeletion)ofsomepointsinthissection? Pleasewriteyoursuggestionshere.</p>	<p>Theabstractis comprehensive andsuccinctlysummarizes thestudy'saims, methods,findings,andimplications. However,itwouldbenefit from a briefmentionof thespecificqualitative methodsused(e.g., "in-depthinterviewsandliteratureanalysis")toprovideclarity.</p>	
<p>Aresubsectionsand structureof themanuscriptappropriate?</p>	<p>Themanuscript is well-organized,withappropriatesubsectionsthat cover theintroduction,researchmethods,problemanalysis, solutions,conclusion,andfuturedirections.Each sectionisclearly demarcated,makingiteasytofollowtheflowoftheargument.</p>	
<p>Please writeafew sentencesregarding thescientificcorrectnessofthismanuscript.Whydo youthinkthatthismanuscriptisscientifically robustandtechnicallysound?Aminimumof3-4sentencesmayberequired forthispart.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.It provides a detailed analysis of thefactorscontributingtopoverty among fishing communitiesand offers well-reasoned solutionsbased on empiricaldataandliterature.Theuseofcasestudiesaddsdepthtothefindingsandsupportstheconclusionsdrawn.</p>	
<p>Aretherferencesufficientandrecent?Ifyouhave suggestionsof additionalreferences,pleasementionthem inthe reviewform.</p>	<p>Thereferences are sufficient andrecent,covering a range ofrelevant literature thatsupportsthestudy'sfindings.Theinclusionof additionalreferences onsimilar studiesin otherregions could furtherstrengthenthemanuscript.</p>	

ReviewForm3

<p><u>Minor</u>REVISIONcomments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the articles suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is generally suitable for scholarly communication, though there are occasional grammatical errors and awkward phrasings that need correction. For example, the sentence "This condition reflects the imbalance between the great potential of natural resources and the welfare of coastal communities who should be at the forefront of utilizing the wealth of the sea" could be rephrased for clarity.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u>comments</p>	<p>Abstract: Includes specific qualitative methods used: "This research uses qualitative methods, including literature analysis and in-depth interviews with fishermen and related stakeholders."</p> <p>Introduction: Clarify and rephrase awkward sentences: "This condition reflects the imbalance between the great potential of natural resources and the welfare of coastal communities who should be at the forefront of utilizing the wealth of the sea."</p> <p>Research Methods: Provide more detail on the sampling criteria and data collection process to enhance transparency and reproducibility.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: Include additional case studies or examples from other regions to provide a comparative perspective.</p> <p>Conclusion: Summarize the key findings more explicitly and suggest specific policy recommendations based on the study's results.</p> <p>Language and Grammar: Conduct a thorough proofreading to correct grammatical errors and improve sentence structure.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Mohammad Hannan Mia</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Institute of the Malay World and Civilization, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia</p>