

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_121415
Title of the Manuscript:	Understanding Poverty Among Fishing Communities: A Critical Review
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important for scientific community. It touches issues that the fishing industries go through in their every day life as the such for livelihood Poverty subject can never be underestimated.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title is suitable. Action needed to make the topic better Understanding Poverty Among Fishing Communities: A Critical Review Indicate the study area eg Understanding Poverty Among Fishing Communities in Indonesia: A Critical Review Make the Topic SMART, using the write verbs as per the level of study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract starting point of the purpose of study is okay. The author may include the anchor theory, research design, unit of observation or target population in numbers for example target population of 1000, sampling technique, conclusion Follow the academic writing when bringing in the missing section from purpose, theory, Research design, target population, sample technique data analysis, findings, conclusion recommendations	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsection and structure needs adjustment. The author has to capture all sections required in writing the manuscript. Introduction, objectives, statement of the problem, empirical Literature, Research methodology not method, Discussion, Conclusion, recommendation , reference	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The writings do not meet academic standard. The author has left most of the study area from the topic to the sub sections to be covered.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the references are sufficient but some are out dated. Any reference more than five years should not be used unless theories.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Do corrections as per your university guideline	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dorothy Tsuma
Department, University & Country	Management University of Africa, Kenya