

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_120842
Title of the Manuscript:	A Systematic Assessment of Digital apps for Quality Content on Health and Nutritional Aspects of Infants
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>First, I would like to congratulate the authors for their excellent work, below are some recommendations to qualify the work, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I suggest revising the Title of this work, creating a more appropriate title and subtitle; • Rewrite the Abstract of this work, highlighting the relevance and importance of this work for the world scientific community, between 150-250; • Rewrite and insert 5 (the five) most relevant keywords; • Please insert more information and References in the Introduction of this work; • I suggest revising the last paragraph of the Introduction, please rewrite reporting the purpose of this work; • Please explain in more detail the figures presented in the article, it highlights their importance for the present work; • Please better detail the Method employed in this article, describe more; • Rewrite the 1st paragraph of the Sample selection, be clearer and more detailed; • Describe and insert more relevant information in the Conclusion of this work; • As a suggestion to qualify the research, I suggest including the following references, as a way of adding to the research: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-75680-2_1 https://publish.thescienceinsight.com/index.php/msbd/article/view/63 https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-75680-2_56 • I suggest inserting one more topic, soon after the conclusion of this work, called Future Works, evidence of what is expected for the future of this work, it is worth commenting to highlight the importance of this work for the World Scientific Community; <p>Grateful.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>		
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabriel Gomes De Oliveira
Department, University & Country	Unicamp/Feec, Brazil