

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121317
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Variability Studies for Growth, Yield and Quality Traits in Tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.) Germplasm
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	For the scientific community this manuscript will play a vital role. New varieties can be developed according to different places . Tolerant varieties can be developed. I like this manuscript because <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It has provided a diversity of knowledge regarding tomato germplasms 2. As tomato is prone to varieties of diseases and pests , it will help in production of tolerant varieties. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes but this title can be made like this , "Evaluation of genetic variability in Tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.) Germplasm for Growth, Yield and Quality Traits."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes the abstract is comprehensive but better to write the brief overview of result of the research. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In this research Data Analysis portion should be added . 2. Better to write clear about Total number of plots, Total number of sampled plants and Total number of border plants. 3. Better to mention about the use of Fertilizers 4. Better to mention about training and pruning operations 5. Better to mention whether the research is conducted in open field or tunnel house conditions. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes the subsections and structure of the research are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As I have mentioned in above question , some corrections are yet to be made for the sound manuscript. As a whole this manuscript provide comprehensive idea of tomato germplasms. It has provided a wide range of knowledge regarding genetic variability in tomato germplasms. If above mentioned correction will be made then it will be more sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes , these references are sufficient and recent.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes , the language of this article is perfect and is suitable for scholarly communication	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This article can provide good knowledge for the future researchers and can surely help in commercial production of tomato.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhuwan Joshi
Department, University & Country	Agriculture and Forestry University, Nepal