

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_121379
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE PHYTOCHEMICAL, GC-MS, PROXIMATE, MINERALS AND VITAMINS COMPOSITIONS OF 95% ETHANOL LEAF EXTRACTS OF GONGRONEMA LATIFOLIUM AND OCIMIUM GRATISSIMUM.
Type of the Article	Academic

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is the qualitative results of the leaves of <i>Gongronema latifolium</i> (GL) and <i>Ocimum gratissimum</i> (OG) showed that the plant leaves contain alkaloids, saponins, tannins, flavonoids, phenols and cardiac glycosides. The manuscript is the GC-MS analysis of ethanol leaves of GL and OG revealed that the plants contain 38 and 37 bioactive compounds respectively. It can be concluded that GL and OG have rich bioactive constituents which can be employed in the formulation of novelty drugs	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest that the title be changed to: Comparative analysis utilizing GC-MS of the vitamin and mineral content of the ethanolic extract of the leaves of <i>Gongronema latifolium</i> and <i>Ocimum gratissimum</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is important to remember that the research summary comprises a portion of the introduction and the goal of the study, followed by a brief description of the methodology used and a summary of the findings and conclusions.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I suggest adding sources in materials and methods	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Najlaa Tariq Hassn
Department, University & Country	University of Mosul, Iraq