

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SARJNP_121379</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>COMPARATIVE PHYTOCHEMICAL, GC-MS, PROXIMATE, MINERALS AND VITAMINS COMPOSITIONS OF 95% ETHANOL LEAF EXTRACTS OF GONGRONEMA LATIFOLIUM AND OCIMIUM GRATISSIMUM.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The plants being mentioned have been in use for years in countries like Nigeria, and highlighting the phytochemical properties brings an understanding of its widely known benefits. The use of comparative study for the two unrelated plants is very daring but seems to collide well with the conclusion given.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title makes a good summary of the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Aside from the initial lines that seemed a bit confusing (might need some grammatical review), the abstract gave a good summary of the result of the research.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the article is appropriate for a scientific study.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The plant collection I would wish had been done from a local farm to ensure that there is no adulteration. However, the method is still agreeable. The materials and methods used are appropriate and clearly outlined. The use of GCMS is also methodically appropriate for phytochemical studies. Vegetables are generally known as good sources of vitamins and this study further confirms that scientific fact.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Seeing that there are not many studies on this same plants as the author mentioned, I would say that references are just appropriate.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The grammar is understandable.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I wonder if there are chances of any of them containing volatile oils? Especially the scent leaf. Has that been studied?	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Judith Anyaba</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Cyprus International University, Final International University, North Cyprus</b>