

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_119073
Title of the Manuscript:	Sero-Prevalence and Distribution of Hepatitis B Surface Antigenaemia among People Living in Urban Settings in Rivers State of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable? Yes</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes, very good</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, because in addition to raising the prevalence, it identified more susceptible groups, despite the rate being relatively low, 4.3% in a population living in an economically prosperous urban region, where it would be expected that, due to certain factors present in urban areas like this, even higher rates.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The article is well written and has a good structure and organization. It would be nice if you detailed how you recruited the 392 patients. Are there 392 patients treated on an outpatient basis between March and June 2023? If so, it's been answered. I also highlight the way in which the discussion was carried out. A different, interesting format. I suggest removing the pie chart, this type of chart is not recommended in scientific articles. My suggestion is to replace it with a table, it looks better.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Davi Felix Martins Junior</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, Brazil</b>