

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_122108
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF GENETIC VARIABILITY AND CORRELATION STUDIES ON FRUIT YIELD AND ITS COMPONENT CHARACTERS IN OKRA (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This version is important for the practical community because we currently have the problems of climate change and its effects on agricultural products and lack of food for humans. In this version, the change of different genotypes for high performance has been researched. This in itself is a good scholarly research. I am in favor of and support any change from a genetic point of view to improve new genotypes resistant to drought, pests and diseases.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the Manuscript is suitable for the text of the Manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is excellent and the reader can understand it correctly and there are only two abbreviations, one PCV and GCV, it should be clear what the abbreviation is because the reader who reads the abstract first does not know these.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The sub-sections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, only the ANOVA tables should be moved from the last part in the results and discussion, and the tables should be made according to the academic format.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In terms of the scientific nature of the article, I agree that it is scientific to a large extent because there are not many errors in the data and data analysis, and it has been correctly analyzed, and the data analysis and the regularity of these tables indicate more accuracy in the work, the more the accuracy of the work. It indicates the scientific nature of the article.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The resources are adequate but outdated. I suggest that they study newer sources.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Well, the written language is not bad, but some attention in terms of punctuation and punctuation is needed to affect the quality of the article. Tables should be arranged according to a specific format for journals, this arrangement is not academic at all.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Najibullah Ebrahimi
Department, University & Country	Ghazni University, Afghanistan