

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_119621
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of hybrids and parents of chilli (<i>Capsicum annuum</i> L.) for yield and resistance to chilli leaf curl virus
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. I think the paper is important role in the scientific community because many of the virologist have need more data about curl virus also this paper is important for the person who will study the plant virology and did not know anything about curl virus.</p> <p>2.The title of the paper is perfect and complete define your research purpose</p> <p>3.The abstract is well written in an engaging and lively style and also abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>4. I really appreciated how you broke down the complexity of the idea the structure of manuscript is appropriate and well define according to the need of the paper. I like how you've tackled the part of methodology and literature review. Also, I see much improvement in your way of writing.</p> <p>5. yes I can read all the manuscript on the bases of fact and figures the manuscript are correct in scientific bases</p> <p>6.no need to add the further references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes the quality of English is under stable and every line of the paper is under stable for scholar</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I like the paper structure and data analysis I like the way you can add the references I like the way you can design a research I like the way you can write a paper</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

Review Form 1.7

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
--	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Umair Masood Awan
Department, University & Country	Royal College of Surgeon, Pakistan