

Evaluation of hybrids and parents of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) for yield and resistance to chilli leaf curl virus

1-Department of ~~vegetable~~ Vegetable science ~~Science~~, College of ~~horticulture~~ Horticulture, University of ~~horticulture~~ Horticulture sciences ~~Sciences~~, Bagalkot. India 2-Professor and Head, Department of ~~vegetable~~ Vegetable science ~~Science~~, ~~college~~ College of horticulture Horticulture, University of ~~horticulture~~ Horticulture sciences ~~Sciences~~, Bagalkot. India 3-Assistant ~~professor~~ Professor, Department of ~~vegetable~~ Vegetable science ~~Science~~, College of ~~horticulture~~ Horticulture, University of ~~horticulture~~ Horticulture sciences ~~Sciences~~, Bagalkot. India 4-Associate professor, Department of ~~crop~~ Crop improvement ~~Improvement~~ and ~~biotechnology~~ Biotechnology, College of ~~horticulture~~ Horticulture, University of ~~horticulture~~ Horticulture sciences ~~Sciences~~, Bagalkot. India 5- Assistant ~~professor~~ Professor, Department of Entamology, Horticulture Research and Extension Centre, University of Horticulture Science, Bagalkot. India 6-Assistant ~~professor~~ Professor, Department of ~~plant~~ Plant pathology Pathology, Horticulture Research and Extension Centre, University of Horticulture Science, Bagalkot. India

Abstract

Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) is one of the most important commercial vegetable cum spice crops that belongs to family Solanaceae. Leaf curl virus disease is a most destructive threat to chilli production which adversely affect yield. Twenty four F₁ hybrids were developed by crossing eleven diverse parents in line x tester mating design and were screened for yield, yield related traits and for resistance to leaf curl virus under natural epiphytotic condition and artificial inoculation using viruliferous white flies at College of ~~horticulture~~ Horticulture, Bagalkot (University of ~~horticulture~~ Horticulture science ~~Science~~, Bagalkot) during 2021-2023. The analysis of variance indicated the presence of significant variation among the chilli hybrids and parents for the characters observed and reaction to disease. Based on the *per se* performance, the hybrid ByadgiDabbi x IC284628 recorded the highest individual fruit weight, hybrid ByadgiKaddi x Punjab Sindhuri observed highest number of fruits per plant and hybrid ByadgiKaddi x Punjab Lal recorded highest green fruit yield per plant among all the hybrids. The hybrids ByadgiKaddi x Punjab Surkh,

ByadgiDabbi x Punjab Surkh, ByadgiDabbi x Punjab Sindhuri and ByadgiKaddi x Punjab Sindhuri were found to have higher tolerance to chilli leaf curl disease.

Keywords: Hybrids, Chilli leaf curl virus, Yield, Resistance, Parents, Heterosis

Introduction

Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) is a most important commercially exploited crop popularly called hot pepper or red pepper belongs to family Solanaceae (nightshade) and origins back to tropical America (Sood *et al.*, 2011). The name *Capsicum* is derived from Latin word “*Capsa*” which means “hallow pod.” *Capsicum* genus has wide diversity in plant and fruit characteristics, which make it extremely versatile and suitable for innumerable uses. It is reported as an essential industrial crop, with pungency (capsaicin) being an important pharmaceutical property and also used in the food industry as a colouring agent for colouring a processed food, hence it is major cash crop which is exclusively grown in tropical and sub-tropical countries. India is the world leader in chilli production followed by China, Thailand, Ethiopia and Indonesia. India is the largest producer, consumer and exporter of chilli, accounting for more than 36.57% ~~per cent~~ of the World’s total dry chilli production (Geetha and Selvarani 2017). Important states growing chilli are Andhra Pradesh, Telangana, Karnataka, Orissa, Maharashtra, West Bengal, Rajasthan and Tamil Nadu. Karnataka ranks second with an area of 48.25 thousand hectares, production of 615.25 thousand MT and productivity of 12.75 MT/ha (Anonymous, 2022). Total production of dry chilli in Karnataka is drastically decreased by 59.7 per cent in last 10 years *i.e.* from 1998-99 (1.29 lakh ha) to 2009-10 (0.52 lakh ha) (Stephan *et al.*, 2016). This reduction in area of dry chilli production is mainly due to several biotic stresses especially caused by virus. It is reported that chilli is attacked by more than 65 viruses (Nigam *et al.*, 2015).

Comment [2T1]: Too old references?

The yield of chilli crop is adversely affected due to leaf curl disease, caused by chilli leaf curl virus (Kumar *et al.*, 2015) belonging to genus Begomovirus and family Geminiviridae (Raiet *al.*, 2014). The white fly (*Bemisia tabaci*) acts as a vector for the transmission of virus into the host plant. The symptoms of the disease include stunting of plant growth, upward curling of leaves, puckering, rosette appearance of the leaves on the top of the plant, reduced internodes and petioles, thickening of veins. The older leaves become leathery and brittle and the affected plant fails to produce flowers and fruits (Sinha *et al.*, 2011, Srivastava *et al.*, 2021). The reduction in yield of chilli due to leaf curl disease may extend up to 100 per cent thus causing a great loss to the farmers (Senanayake *et al.*, 2007;

Zehra *et al.*, 2010). Among the various management techniques available to check this viral disease in chilli, the most efficient way is by controlling the vector population using systemic insecticides but it makes chilli cultivation costly and hazardous to human health and environment. On the other hand, growing of chilli varieties/ hybrids with resistant to leaf curl disease will serve as a simplest and convenient method to the farmers to control the disease and pave way to reduce the yield loss, cost of cultivation and enables an eco-friendly cultivation. Therefore, the present study was conducted to identify the high yielding hybrids combined with resistance to leaf curl disease among **twenty-four F₁ hybrids developed utilizing eleven parents through line x tester mating design.**

Comment [2T2]:

Materials and methods

The present investigation ~~about the evaluation of chilli~~ was carried out to evaluate chilli hybrids and parents for yield, yield related traits and resistance to chilli leaf curl virus at College of ~~horticulture~~ Horticulture Bagalkot (University of Horticulture Science, Bagalkot) during 2020-2023. **The experimental material consisting of 24 hybrids and 11 parents during 2020-21.** Based on the genotypic reaction for leaf curl virus resistance, **the resistant lines were used as donor parents to transfer the virus resistance to the popular Byadgi varieties.** Total eight resistant genotypes and three susceptible parents were used for crossing in line × tester fashion as suggested by Kempthorne (1957) to produce twenty-four F₁ hybrids. The experiment was laid out in Randomised Block Design with two replications and a standard check. Seedlings of chilli hybrids and parents were raised in pro trays and 35 days old seedlings were transplanted at a distance of 60 x 45 cm in the month of February, 2022 during the summer season. The experimental site and season were found to be favourable for white fly build up in the past years (Sirawata and Karcho 2023). ~~All the cultural practices were followed as per package of practice of UHS, Bagalkot.~~

Comment [2T3]: ?? 3 years

Comment [2T4]: ??

Comment [2T5]: Name of donor?

Comment [2T6]: Virus or gene and How it may transfer in a single generation to B. Dabbi

Table 1. Details of chilli lines, testers and commercial check used in the study

Sl. No.	Entry	Genotypes	Specific trait ?
Lines			
1.	L1	Byadgi	Dabbi
2.	L2	Byadgi	Kaddi
3.	L3	Sankeshwar	
Testers			
1.	T1	EC391087	
2.	T2	IC342426	
3.	T3	IC342464	
4.	T4	IC284628	

Formatted: Left

5.	T5	Punjab Lal
6.	T6	Punjab Tej
7.	T7	Punjab Sindhuri
8.	T8	Punjab Surkh
Commercial check		
1.	CC	Sarphan hybrid 102

Observations recorded

Five plants in each entry in each replication were randomly selected, tagged and the following observations were recorded from the tagged plants. The data on growth, yield and yield related parameters *viz.*, plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of fruits per plant, fruit length, fruit width, individual green fruit weight and green fruit yield per plant. Per cent of disease infection and disease severity under natural field conditions and artificial screening under mass inoculation were recorded based on the scales for classifying leaf curl disease reactions as developed by Kumar *et al.*, (2006). From the recorded observation percent disease incidence (PDI) was calculated. The data on scoring value of chilli leaf curl disease were subjected to suitable statistical analysis, and the hybrids were categorized into six categories based on methods adopted by Reddy *et al.* (2001)

Percent disease incidence (PDI): The incidence of leaf curl virus was calculated by using the following formula developed by (Kumar *et al.*, 2006) and statistically analysed.

$$\text{Percent disease incidence (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of diseased plants}}{\text{Total number of plants observed}} \times 100$$

Table 2: Indexing of leaf curl virus in chilli

Symptom severity grade	Symptoms	Reaction (%)	Category
0	No symptom	0	Immune
1	0-5% Curling and clearing of upper leaves	1 – 10	Highly Resistant
2	6-25% Curling, clearing of leaves and swelling of veins	11 – 25	Resistant
3	26-50% Curling, puckering and yellowing of leaves and swelling of veins	26 – 40	Moderately Resistant

4	51-75% leaf curling and stunted plant growth and blistering of internodes	41 – 60	Susceptible
5	>75% curling and deformed small leaves, stunted plant growth with small flowers and no or small fruit set	>60	Highly Susceptible

Results and discussion

Based on the genotypic reaction for leaf curl virus resistance, the resistant lines identified during previous experiments were used as donor parents to transfer the virus resistance to the popular Byadgi varieties. These hybrids were tested for leaf curl virus resistance in the natural field condition in the hot spot area and artificial screening under mass inoculation, which are the best measures to know the resistance or tolerance against virus. The phenotypic reactions of host plants were recorded in terms of symptomatic expression following a disease scoring scale (0-5) given by (Banerjee and Kallo, 1987 and Kumar *et al.*, 2006). The analysis of variance for various characters observed in chilli parents and hybrids under the study are presented in the [table-Table 3](#). The analysis of variance indicated the presence of significant variation among the hybrids for characters under study. The mean performance of the parents and hybrids for yield and yield related characters are presented in [table-Table 4](#).

Plant height

Heterosis for plant height [traits](#) is directly related to yield as the growth and yield parameter are strongly associated for increased production. The *per se* range for plant height of 41.43 cm (ByadgiKaddi x IC342426) to 125.83 cm (Shankeshwar x IC342464) was recorded by F₁ hybrids as compared to parents 50.99 cm (IC342426)– 89.07 cm (IC342464) and check (63.55 cm). Wide range of heterosis was exhibited by F₁ hybrids for plant height. Similar results were also reported by Rekha *et al.* (2016), Abrhamet *et al.* (2017), Rao *et al.* (2017) and Rohini and Lakshmanan (2017).

Number of primary branches

Primary branches are the essential growth parameter which acts as source for supporting yield and its component traits. The parents showed the variation from 3.21 (Punjab Tej) – 5.06 (EC391087) for number of primary branches. [whereasWhereas](#), the [F1 hybridserosses](#)

had the range from 3.60 (ByadgiDabbi x IC342464) ~~to~~6.62 (Shankeshwar x EC391087). For number of primary branches, positive heterosis was noticed in majority of the crosses. These results are in agreement with Rekha *et al.* (2016), Rao *et al.* (2017), ~~and~~ Rohini and Lakshmanan (2017), Thilaket *et al.* (2017), Vijethet *et al.* (2019) and Aiswaryaet *et al.* (2020).

Number of secondary branches

The number of secondary branches is important as the fruits are borne on the axils of secondary branches which directly favours to number of fruits per plant. The parents showed the variation for number of secondary branches which ranged from 6.90 (Punjab Tej) ~~to~~ 11.59 (EC391087), ~~whereas~~ Whereas, among the F₁ hybrid crosses it ranged from 7.01 (ByadgiDabbi x IC342464) ~~to~~ 14.17 (Shankeshwar x IC342426). These findings are in conformity with those of Gawaliet *et al.* (2015), Rekha *et al.* (2016) and Rao *et al.* (2017).

Number of fruits per plant

Number of fruits per plant is the most important primitive component which directly influence the fruits yield. Heterosis for yield is mainly attributed to heterosis for number of fruits per plant. The parents varied from 42.67 (ByadgiDabbi) to 174.49 (Punjab Sindhuri) for number of green fruits per plant. Among F₁ hybrids number of fruits per plant ranged from 41.30 (Shankeshwar x IC342464) to 166.31 (ByadgiKaddi x Punjab Sindhuri). These results are in conformity with work of Patel *et al.* (2015), Spaldonet *et al.* (2015), Gawaliet *et al.* (2015), Rekaet *et al.* (2016), Rao *et al.* (2017), ~~and~~ Mopideviet *et al.* (2017), Thilaket *et al.* (2017), Ganefianti and Fahrurrozi (2018), Chakrabarty *et al.* (2019), Vijethet *et al.* (2019) and Aiswaryaet *et al.* (2020).

Fruit weight

Mean values for fruit weight among chilli genotypes varied significantly from 6.98 g (EC391087) to 19.54 g (ByadgiDabbi) for parents while, the F₁ crosses varied from 5.24 g (Shankeshwar x EC391087) to 14.78 g (ByadgiDabbi x IC284628). With respect to average fruit weight, the magnitude of heterosis over commercial check 11.08 g. These results are in agreement with those of Abrhamet *et al.* (2017), Rao *et al.* (2017), Rohini and Lakshmanan (2017), Mopideviet *et al.* (2017), Ganefianti and Fahrurrozi (2018) and Nikornpunet *et al.* (2020).

Fruit length

Fruit length is one of the important yield parameters, which directly contributes to the fruit weight, thereby affecting the total yield. A critical analysis of the data for this trait shows the enormous variation among the parents, hybrids and standard check. The parents varied from 4.23 cm (EC391087) to 22.77 cm (Shankeshwar) for fruit length. Among F₁ hybrids fruit length ranged from 5.11 cm (ByadgiDabbi x EC391087) to 18.35 cm (Shankeshwar x IC284628). The results are in line with the work of Savitha *et al.* (2015), Spaldon *et al.* (2015), Naresh *et al.* (2016), Abrham *et al.* (2017), and Vijeth *et al.* (2019).

Fruit width

In the trait fruit width mean values among chilli genotypes varied significantly from 0.69 cm (Shankeshwar) to 2.22 cm (EC391087) for parents and among the F₁ hybrid crosses it varied from 0.58 cm (Shankeshwar x Punjab Sindhuri) to 2.02 cm (ByadgiDabbi x EC391087). Similar results were obtained by Mopidevi *et al.* (2017), Silva *et al.* (2017), Shumbulo *et al.* (2018), Ganefianti and Fahrurrozi (2018) and Vijeth *et al.* (2019).

Green fruit yield

The fruit yield is the ultimate requirement for the farmers for attaining effective economic profit. The average fruit yield per plant varied from 440.76 g (EC391087) to 1413.44 g (Punjab Surkh) among parents and 340.74 g (Shankeshwar x EC391087) to 1686.92 g (ByadgiKaddi x Punjab Lal) within F₁ hybrid crosses. These results are in agreement with the works of Rao *et al.* (2017), Mopidevi *et al.* (2017), Chakrabarty *et al.* (2019), Vijeth *et al.* (2019) and Nikornpunet *et al.* (2020).

The per cent disease index and disease reaction of all the chilli hybrids and genotypes are presented in the [table Table 5](#). There was high phenotypic variation for leaf curl virus disease incidence among chilli hybrids and parents in both natural and artificial screening. PDI during natural screening ranged from 4.50% ~~per cent~~ (Punjab Lal) to 99.50% ~~per cent~~ (ByadgiDabbi) among parents and it ranged from 14% ~~per cent~~ (ByadgiKaddi x Punjab Surkh) to 88% ~~per cent~~ (Shankeshwar x IC284628) among chilli hybrids. During artificial screening PDI ranged from 8% ~~per cent~~ (Punjab Lal) to 99% ~~per cent~~ (ByadgiKaddi) among parents and it ranged from 17% ~~per cent~~ (ByadgiKaddi x Punjab Surkh) to 82% ~~per cent~~ (Shankeshwar x EC391087) among hybrids. The variation in virus incidence among different

genotypes may be due to tolerance of differential load of virus infection based on the morphological, biochemical or nutritional factors which act as a defence mechanism against the virus infection. The chilli hybrids and parents were categorized into 6 groups based on their reaction to leaf curl virus and the data is presented in the [table-Table 6](#). From per cent disease incidence, it is evident that hybrid ByadgiKaddi x Punjab Surkh and ByadgiDabbi x Punjab Surkh were found to be resistant to leaf curl virus showing least PDI compared to other hybrids in both natural and artificial screening. Parents EC 391087, Punjab Lal, Punjab Surkh was observed to be highly resistant to leaf curl virus in both natural and artificial screening. Similar results were observed by (Ahmad *et al.*, 2016, Dhaliwal *et al.*, 2013, Awasthi and Kumar 2008)

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 3: Analysis of variance (mean sum of squares) for yield, yield related traits and disease resistance in chilli

Sl. No.	Characters	Replication	Genotypes	Parents	Parents (Line)	Parents (Tester)	Parents (L vs T)	Parents vs Crosses	Crosses	Error
	d.f.	1	34	10	2	7	1	1	23	34
	Parameters									
1	Plant height	41.56	947.56 ^{***}	225.03 ^{**}	66.65 [*]	301.58 ^{***}	5.92	45.76	1300.91 ^{***}	24.34
2	No. of primary branches	0.06	1.16 ^{***}	0.70 ^{***}	0.24 ^{***}	0.93 ^{***}	0.05	4.97 ^{***}	1.13 ^{***}	0.11
3	No. of secondary branches	0.05	9.79 ^{***}	5.19 ^{***}	2.05 [*]	6.75 ^{***}	0.55	19.41 ^{***}	11.37 ^{***}	0.43
5	No. of fruits per plant	110.35	2533.12 ^{***}	3945.23 ^{***}	570.42 ^{***}	3932.19 ^{***}	10786.08 ^{**}	23.15 ^{***}	2028.29 ^{***}	192.64
6	Fruit weight	0.02	22.39 ^{***}	38.81 ^{***}	17.98 ^{**}	31.16 ^{***}	134.03 ^{**}	12.63 ^{***}	15.67 ^{***}	2.57
7	Fruit length	0.18	30.30 ^{***}	50.01 ^{***}	48.21 ^{***}	22.86 ^{***}	243.75 ^{**}	6.75 ^{***}	22.75 ^{***}	0.62
8	Fruit width	0.00	0.45 ^{***}	0.46 ^{***}	0.77 ^{***}	0.43 ^{***}	0.03 [*]	0.77 ^{***}	0.42 ^{***}	0.01
9	Green fruit yield	19001.41	276081.18 ^{***}	165275.41 ^{***}	25143.71 ^{***}	203584.56 ^{**}	177374.77 ^{***}	43863.19 ^{***}	334354.03 ^{***}	7799.50
11	Disease incidence	15.56	1727.78 ^{***}	3112.10 ^{***}	2.00	131.42 ^{***}	30197.06 ^{***}	1021.59 ^{***}	1156.61 ^{***}	22.88

*indicates significance of values at p = 0.05, ** indicates significance of values at p = 0.01

*** indicates significance of values at p = 0.001

Table 4: Estimation of *per se* performance of parents and hybrids for yield, yield related parameters and disease resistance in chilli

Sl.No.	Genotypes	Plant height (cm)	No. of primary branches	No. of secondary branches	No. of fruits per plant	Fruit weight (g)	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit width (cm)	Green fruit yield (g/plant)
Parents									
1	ByadgiDabbi	67.69	3.63	7.80	42.67	19.54	13.03	1.92	829.09
2	ByadgiKaddi	75.60	4.19	9.19	55.62	18.23	19.01	1.49	1018.34
3	Shankeshwar	78.93	4.25	9.77	76.16	13.82	22.77	0.69	1027.91
4	EC391087	61.53	5.06	11.59	63.13	6.98	4.23	2.22	440.76
5	IC342426	50.99	4.96	11.10	65.34	16.79	13.66	1.44	1099.63
6	IC342464	89.07	3.50	7.00	85.29	12.54	11.89	1.12	1071.91
7	IC284628	73.53	4.10	8.85	77.13	17.54	15.16	1.62	1271.82
8	Punjab Lal	72.46	4.16	10.02	96.13	12.53	9.73	0.93	1204.42
9	Punjab Tej	81.02	3.21	6.90	161.87	8.66	10.50	0.99	1390.52
10	Punjab Sindhuri	71.07	4.49	10.66	174.49	7.95	8.72	0.77	1388.00
11	Punjab Surkh	83.61	3.57	8.09	139.56	10.23	12.48	1.20	1413.44
12	Standard Check	63.55	3.64	8.86	75.81	11.08	11.73	1.11	839.48
Hybrids									
1.	BD x EC391087	51.05	4.80	12.38	52.78	7.25	5.11	2.02	382.71
2.	BD x IC342426	42.96	5.72	13.23	73.54	14.23	14.92	1.42	1041.10

3.	BD x IC342464	115.38	3.60	7.01	50.07	13.85	12.65	0.84	691.58
4.	BD x IC284628	43.85	5.76	13.43	81.90	14.78	15.49	1.72	1210.40
5.	BD x PL	68.01	4.47	10.61	118.37	13.70	10.54	0.72	1604.92
6.	BD x PT	60.44	4.62	11.32	112.23	13.01	10.99	0.77	1432.99
7.	BD x PS	76.47	4.30	8.80	109.83	12.20	8.70	0.66	1313.27
8.	BD x PSU	89.75	4.15	7.73	117.55	13.32	12.81	1.10	1544.58
9.	BK x EC391087	53.57	4.76	12.10	62.03	6.53	6.15	1.91	403.54
10.	BK x IC342426	41.43	5.60	12.87	85.03	14.10	16.06	1.24	1184.19
11.	BK x IC342464	120.28	3.86	7.26	69.11	13.80	12.92	0.78	923.85
12.	BK x IC284628	48.61	4.89	12.62	86.77	14.52	16.88	1.61	1242.76
13.	BK x PL	73.96	4.30	9.04	124.66	13.62	11.11	0.68	1686.92
14.	BK x PT	57.55	4.65	11.58	114.36	12.79	11.62	0.76	1460.49
15.	BK x PS	80.98	4.29	8.49	166.31	8.11	9.05	0.60	1347.66
16.	BK x PSU	93.49	4.76	7.62	119.88	13.17	13.03	0.94	1578.13
17.	SWRxEC391087	56.34	6.62	11.74	65.06	5.24	7.71	1.83	340.74
18.	SWR×IC342426	46.45	4.05	14.17	71.14	14.02	17.05	1.17	996.94
19.	SWR×IC342464	125.83	6.02	7.59	41.30	13.71	13.05	0.78	564.81
20.	SWR×IC284628	45.67	4.31	13.45	84.82	14.28	18.35	1.53	1200.50
21.	SWR x PL	71.34	4.40	9.30	113.33	13.39	12.56	0.67	1503.63
22.	SWR x PT	64.55	4.26	9.73	108.19	12.76	12.58	0.75	1380.14

23.	SWR x PS	86.13	3.96	8.13	150.85	8.53	9.59	0.58	1286.43
24.	SWR x PSU	101.56	4.76	7.34	114.05	13.10	13.07	0.90	1493.67
	S.Em ±	3.12	0.24	0.46	9.72	1.15	0.55	0.06	61.66
	C.D. at 5%	8.95	0.68	1.33	27.92	3.30	1.59	0.16	177.03
	C.D. at 1%	12.01	0.91	1.78	37.46	4.43	2.13	0.22	237.53

CD – Critical difference, S.Em ± - Standard error of mean

BD – ByadgiDabbi, BK – ByadgiKaddi, SWR – Sankeshwar, PL – Punjab Lal, PT – Punjab Tej, PS – Punjab Sindhuri, PSU – Punjab Surkh

Table 5: Screening of chilli hybrids and their parents for resistance to leaf curl virus under natural epiphytotic condition

Sl. No	Chilli hybrids	Natural screening		Artificial screening	
		Per cent disease index (%)	Disease reaction	Per cent disease index (%)	Disease reaction
1	BD x EC391087	54.00	S	57.00	S
2	BD x IC342426	66.00	HS	69.00	HS
3	BD x IC342464	74.00	HS	70.00	HS
4	BD x IC284628	78.00	HS	68.00	HS
5	BD x PL	57.50	S	51.00	S
6	BD x PT	29.00	MR	32.00	MR
7	BD x PS	25.00	R	22.00	R
8	BD x PSU	21.50	R	24.00	R
9	BK x EC391087	49.00	S	53.00	S
10	BK x IC342426	36.00	MR	42.00	MR
11	BK x IC342464	59.00	S	62.00	HS
12	BK x IC284628	68.00	HS	73.00	HS

Comment [2T7]: EC391087 is highly resistant but combination is Susceptible why?

Comment [2T8]: IC342426 is having resistant reaction but hybrid combination highly susceptible why?

Comment [2T9]: Punjab lai is HR but combination is Susceptible why?

Comment [2T10]: Punjab Tej is having resistant reaction but hybrid combination MR why?

Comment [2T11]: EC391087 is highly resistant but combination is Susceptible why?

Comment [2T12]: IC342426 is having resistant reaction but hybrid combination M susceptible why?

13	BK x PL	52.00	S	57.00	S
14	BK x PT	29.00	MR	35.00	MR
15	BK x PS	25.00	R	22.00	R
16	BK x PSU	14.00	R	17.00	R
17	SWR x EC391087	79.00	HS	82.00	HS
18	SWR x IC342426	76.00	HS	66.00	HS
19	SWR x IC342464	74.00	HS	67.00	HS
20	SWR x IC284628	88.00	HS	73.00	HS
21	SWR x PL	57.50	S	55.00	S
22	SWR x PT	36.50	MR	40.00	MR
23	SWR x PS	40.00	MR	52.00	S
24	SWR x PSU	31.50	MR	35.00	MR
ChilliParents					
25	ByadgiDabbi	99.50	HS	98.00	HS
26	ByadgiKaddi	99.00	HS	99.00	HS
27	Shankeshwar	97.50	HS	98.00	HS
28	EC391087	9.00	HR	10.00	HR
29	IC342426	15.00	R	19.00	R
30	IC342464	22.50	R	27.00	MR

Comment [2T13]: Punjab lal is HR but combination is Susceptible why?

Comment [2T14]: EC391087 is highly resistant but combination is Highly Susceptible why?

Comment [2T15]: IC342426 is having resistant reaction but hybrid combination highly susceptible why?

Comment [2T16]: Punjab lal is HR but combination is Susceptible why?

Comment [2T17]: Expand?

31	IC284628	29.00	MR	34.00	MR
32	Punjab Lal	4.50	HR	8.00	HR
33	Punjab Tej	18.50	R	22.00	R
34	Punjab Sindhuri	16.00	R	23.00	R
35	Punjab Surkh	8.00	HR	10.00	HR
36	Standard Check	80.00	HS	71.00	HS

Comment [2T18]: Name?

Table 6: Categorization of chilli hybrids and their parents for resistance to leaf curl virus based on virus symptoms under natural condition and artificial inoculation

Disease reaction	Natural screening		Artificial screening	
	No. of genotypes	Hybrid and parents	No. of genotypes	Hybrid and parents
Immune	0	-	0	-
Highly resistant	3	EC 391087, Punjab Lal, Punjab Surkh	3	EC 391087, Punjab Lal, Punjab Surkh
Resistant	8	ByadgiDabbi x Punjab Sindhuri, ByadgiDabbi x Punjab Surkh, ByadgiKaddi x Punjab Sindhuri, ByadgiKaddi x Punjab Surkh, IC342426, IC342464, Punjab Tej, Punjab Sindhuri	7	ByadgiDabbi x Punjab Sindhuri, ByadgiDabbi x Punjab Surkh, ByadgiKaddi x Punjab Sindhuri, ByadgiKaddi x Punjab Surkh, IC342426, Punjab Tej, Punjab Sindhuri

Moderately resistant	7	ByadgiDabbi x Punjab Tej, ByadgiKaddi x IC342426, ByadgiKaddi x Punjab Tej, Shankeshwar x Punjab Tej, Shankeshwar x Punjab Sindhuri, Shankeshwar x Punjab Surkh, IC284628	7	ByadgiDabbi x Punjab Tej, ByadgiKaddi x IC342426, ByadgiKaddi x Punjab Tej, Shankeshwar x Punjab Tej, Shankeshwar x Punjab Surkh, IC284628, IC342464
Susceptible	6	ByadgiDabbi x Punjab Lal, ByadgiDabbi x EC391087, ByadgiKaddi x EC391087, ByadgiKaddi x Punjab Lal, ByadgiKaddi x IC342464, Shankeshwar x Punjab Lal	6	ByadgiDabbi x Punjab Lal, ByadgiDabbi x EC391087, ByadgiKaddi x EC391087, ByadgiKaddi x Punjab Lal, Shankeshwar x Punjab Lal, Shankeshwar x Punjab Sindhuri,
Highly susceptible	12	ByadgiDabbi x IC342426, ByadgiDabbi x IC342464, ByadgiDabbi x IC284628, ByadgiKaddi x IC284628, Shankeshwar x EC391087, Shankeshwar x IC342426, Shankeshwar x IC342464, Shankeshwar x IC284628, ByadgiDabbi, ByadgiKaddi, Shankeshwar, Standard Check	13	ByadgiDabbi x IC342426, ByadgiDabbi x IC342464, ByadgiDabbi x IC284628, ByadgiKaddi x IC284628, Shankeshwar x EC391087, Shankeshwar x IC342426, Shankeshwar x IC342464, Shankeshwar x IC284628, ByadgiDabbi, ByadgiKaddi, Shankeshwar, Standard Check, ByadgiKaddi x IC342464,

References

- Abrham S., Mandefro N. and Sentayehu A., 2017, Heterosis and heterobeltiosis study of hot pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) genotypes in Southern Ethiopia. *Int. J. Plant Breed.* 11(2): 63-70.
- Ahmad A., Sharma A., Zehra S. B., Kang S. S., Bhat M. and Hussain A., 2016, Evaluation of chilli genotypes against Chilli Leaf Curl Virus under natural and artificial epiphytotic conditions. *Indian Ecological Society International conference*, 161-162.
- Anonymous, 2022, *Horticultural Statistics at a Glance*, Horticulture Statistics Division, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare Government of India.
- Aiswarya C. S. and Kaushik P., 2020, Diallel analysis of chilli pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) genotypes for morphological and fruit biochemical traits. *Plants*, 9(1), p.1.
- Awasthi L. P. and Kumar P., 2008, Response of chilli genotypes / cultivars against viral diseases. *Indian Phytopath.*, 61: 282-284.
- Banerjee M. K., Kallou M. K., 1987, Sources and inheritance of resistance to leaf curl virus in *Lycopersicon*. *Theoret. Appl. Genet.*, 73: 707-710.
- Chakrabarty S., Islam A. K. M., Mian M. A. and Ahamed T., 2019, Combining ability and heterosis for yield and related traits in chili. *The Open Agric. J.*, 13(1): 34-43.
- Dhaliwal M. S., Jindal S. K. and Cheema D. S., 2013, Punjab Sindhuri and Punjab Tej: new varieties of chilli. *J. Res. Punjab Agric. Univ.*, 50, 79-81.
- Ganefianti D. W. and Fahrurrozi F., 2018, Heterosis and combining ability in complete diallel cross of seven chilli pepper genotypes grown in ultisol. *Agrivita J. Agric. Sci.*, 40(2): 360-370.
- Gawali D. J., Deshmukh S. B., Narkhede G. W. and Jagtap V. S., 2015, Heterosis and combining ability for morphology and yield characters in chilli. *Multi. Sci.*, 5(19): 207-211.

Comment [2T19]: Abbreviation?

- Geetha R. and Selvarani K., 2017, A study of chilli production and export from India. *Int. J. Adv. Res. Innovative Ideas Edu.*, 3(2): 205-210.
- Kempthorne O., 1957, An introduction to genetic statistics. *John Wiley and Sons*, New York, pp. 408-711.
- Kumar S., Kumar S., Singh M., Singh A. K. and Rai M., 2006, Identification of host plant resistance to pepper leaf curl virus in chilli (*Capsicum* species). *Sci. Horti.*, 110: 359-361.
- Kumar R. V., Singh A. K., Singh A. K., Yadav T., Basu S., Kushwaha N., Chattopadhyay B. and Chakraborty S., 2015, Complexity of begomovirus and betasatellite populations associated with chilli leaf curl disease in India. *J. Gene. Viro.*, 96(10): 3143-3158.
- Mopidevi M., Nagaraju I. and Sreelathakumary V. A., 2017, Development of F₁ hybrids in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) for dual purpose (green as well as dry). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 6(7): 84-96.
- Naresh P., Rao V. K., Lavanya R. B., Reddy A., Venkatachalapathi V. and Reddy M. K., 2016, Genetic analysis for fruit biochemical traits (capsaicinoids and carotenoids) and dry fruit yield in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotech.*, 91(3): 285-291.
- Nigam K., Suhail S., Verma Y., Singh V. and Gupta S., 2015, Molecular characterization of begomovirus associated with leaf curl disease in chilli. *WJPR*, 4:1579-1592.
- Nikorpnun M., Tunjai, K., Kaewsombat, K. and Tarinta, T., 2020. Heterosis and combining abilities of yield components of CMS maintainers, restorer lines and F₁ hybrids of chillies (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *J. Exp. Agric. Int.*, pp.74-87.
- Rai V. P., Kumar R., Singh S. P., Kumar S., Kumar S., Singh M. and Rai M., 2014, Monogenic recessive resistance to *Pepper leaf curl virus* in an interspecific cross of *Capsicum*. *Sci. Horti.*, 172(2014): 34-38.

- Rao G. P., Madhavi R. K., Naresh P. and Venkata C., 2017, Heterosis in bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) for yield and yield attributing traits. *Bangladesh J. Bot.*, 46(2): 745-750.
- Reddy M. K., Sadhashiva A. T., Reddy K. M., Chalam C., Deshpande A. A. and Chandro A., 2001, Integrated disease and pest management: leaf curl and other viruses of tomato and peppers. *Proce. Final Work., Bangkok: Thailand.* pp. 3-8.
- Rekha G. K., Naram N. L., Venkata R. C., Umajyothi K., Paratpararao M. and Sasikala K., 2016, Heterosis studies for yield and yield attributing characters in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) over environments. *Plant Archives.*, 16(1): 243-251.
- Rohini N. and Lakshmanam V., 2017, Heterotic expression for dry pod yield and its components in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* var *annuum*). *J. Ani. Plant Sci.*, 27(1): 207-218.
- Savitha B. K., Pugalendhi L. and Pandiyan M., 2015, Studies on heterosis and mean performance in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Int. J. Agric. Sci.*, 78(2): 87-92.
- Senanayake D. M. J. B., Mandal B., Lodha S., and Varma A., 2007, First report of Chilli leaf curl virus affecting chili in India. *Plant Pathol.*, 56(2): 343.
- Shumbulo A., Nigussie M. and Alamerew S., 2018, Combining ability and gene action of hot pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L) genotypes in Southern Ethiopia. *J. Agric. Biotech. Sust. Develop.*, 10(7): 157-163.
- Sinha D. P., Saxena S., Kumar S. and Singh M., 2011, Detection of pepper leaf curl virus through PCR amplification and expression of its coat protein in *Escherichiacoli* for antiserum production. *African J. Biotech.*, 10(17): 3290-3295.
- Sirawata A. and Karcho S., 2023, Assessment of incidence of chilli leaf curl virus, role of environment and disease management. *Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change*, 13(8): 1218-1224.
- Sood S., Sood R. and Vidyasagar, 2011, Morphological characterization of bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) genotypes and their application for distinctness, uniformity and stability testing. *Indian J. Agri. Sci.*, 81(3): 240.

- Spaldon S., Hussain S., Jabeen N. and Lay P., 2015, Heterosis studies for earliness, fruit yield and yield attributing traits in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *The Bioscan.*, 10(2): 813-818.
- Srivastava A., Mangal M., Mandal B., Sharma V. K. and Tomar B. S., 2021, *Solanum pseudocapsicum*: wild source of resistance to chilli leaf curl disease. *Sci. Direct*, 113: 101566.
- Stephan R., Gracy C. P. and Kavya V. H., 2016, Temporal shift of Byadgi chilli area in the Dharwad and Haveri districts of Karnataka and finding out the reasons. *IJSMMRD.*, 6(1): 41-46.
- Thilak J. C., Pant S. C., Paliwal A., Singh R. and Megharaj K. C., 2017, Heterosis studies for growth, fruit yield and yield attributing characters in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L. var *acuminatum* Fingerh.) under hilly region of Bharsar, Uttarakhand. *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.*, 5(6): 1494-1498.
- Vijeth S., Sreelathakumary I., Rafeekher M. and Kaushik P., 2019, Appraisal of genetics and heterosis of important traits in chilli pepper cultivated under the influence of chilli leaf curl virus disease. *Plt. Patho.*, 88(5): 593-619.
- Zehra S. B., Ahmad A., Sharma A., Sofi S., Lateef A., Bashir Z., Husain M., Rathore J. P., 2017. Chilli leaf curl virus an emerging threat to chilli in India. *Int. J. of Pure and App. BioSci.*, 5(5): 404-14.