

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_121566</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluating Novel Dried Formulations for the Preservation of Human Mesenchymal/Stromal Stem Cells</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The stem cells preservation is a serious problem. This study will provide new ideas to adopt new techniques for stem cells preservation.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes it seems ok</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>It is too comprehensive, please elaborate somehow</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>yes</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>This report extends the existing literature regarding stem cells science. But there is a little bit improvement are required like graphics to meet excellent scientific level This work will open gate for new strategies in stem cell science</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>Yes. These are good</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Please make abstract clearer as possible	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Mukheed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan</b>