

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_122107
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Small Scale Mechanical Rice Transplanters on the Yield, Economics of Rice System in North Coastal Zone of Andhra Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Several spelling and errors in the abstract. Eg spelling for population, India is with capital "I" etc. • The sentence "Rice is a principal food crop for about half of the population in the world"- Do provide justification for this sentence 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Missing background of the problem. • Missing problem statement which is one of the most crucial part of an article 	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Under Nursery Riding "The Entire process tray filling was done with the help of an automatic seeding machine (please add company and make) with the support of skilled staff". What is the red "(please add company and make)". • No clear problem statement where what is the current scenario and the current research gap and why this study is needed • No clear write-up for the background of the study • Most tables are without any explanation on the number in the tables • All figures are without any caption or explanation. • Most of the references used were out-dated and suggest to add recent 5-years studies pertaining to the study • Most of the results obtained were not "interpreted" and explained on the meaning of the results. • No conclusion was provided for the write-up as how the results can be used to narrow the current research gap (Missing from the writing). • Inconsistent in the references formatting. Eg. (some has bracket for year and some does not etc) • Ensure that all the references listed are in the content of the writing as it has it be tallied. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most of the references used were out-dated and suggest to add recent 5-years studies pertaining to the study • Inconsistent in the references formatting. Eg. (some has bracket for year and some does not etc) 	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chiam CC
Department, University & Country	Open University of Malaysia, Malaysia