

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_122056
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDES, AND PRACTICES OF VOLUNTARY BLOOD DONATION AMONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS IN NIGERIA: AMBROSE ALLI UNIVERSITY AS A CASE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses a crucial public health issue of voluntary blood donation within a specific population of university students in Nigeria. I appreciate the manuscript for its localized approach, which allows for a deeper understanding of the cultural and educational factors influencing blood donation behaviors in this context. However, the study's impact could be enhanced by expanding the sample size or including comparisons with other universities to provide a broader perspective.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes , it's an appropriate title	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive. Needs few grammatical correction	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The conclusion and recommendations could be condensed into shorter, more impactful statements. Additionally, the study's limitations should be included to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the research's scope and the context in which the findings should be interpreted.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The results section is overly lengthy, with an excessive number of tables that detail every finding. This diminishes its impact, making the data harder to digest. Streamlining the presentation by summarizing some findings in statement form or condensing them into fewer tables could enhance clarity and make the results more impactful.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The study lacks a literature review that includes research from the last five years, which does not align with standard manuscript writing guidelines. Additionally, some references are over a decade old, which undermines the research's credibility and relevance to current scientific discourse.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes, it adheres to the necessary standards of research writing.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Over all a nicely written article which focuses on the knowledge, attitudes, and practices of students The research provides valuable insights that could inform strategies to increase blood donation rates in a region where blood supply often falls short of demand	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tamkeen Nishat Jaffry
Department, University & Country	National University of Medical Sciences, Pakistan