

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_122056
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDES, AND PRACTICES OF VOLUNTARY BLOOD DONATION AMONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS IN NIGERIA: AMBROSE ALLI UNIVERSITY AS A CASE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Obviously this manuscript has a great value for scientific community in many aspects:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It will enhances the community and scholars to donate blood voluntarily 2. Now a time blood donation processes takes place with fee, therefore it gives insight for the community to donate it without segregation of any individuals in case. 3. It would give effective public awareness on the importance of maintaining an adequate blood supply need for blood donors, and safety of the donation process 4. It will boost the practice and attitude even though many people have knowledge about it. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is so nice but in the Objective part it should be edited as the objective of this study was..... 2. A cross-sectional descriptive study was carried out at Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma. A total of 250 students were recruited. 3. The responses were collected and analyzed with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) v21 4. Keywords are not placed in alphabetically order 5. The analysis part is only focused on descriptive statistics 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The problem in the study is not clearly stated otherwise it good. In the study area it is better to mention the number of hospitals and clinics in the state where the University located. The total number of students in the campus was not enumerated. In the Data analysis it is better to add the inferential statistics in addition to descriptive statistics</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The variables are clearly described that measures the students' knowledge, attitude and practice 2. The discussion part is narrated in a detail way 3. Pretesting has been carried out that acts as precondition for main study 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Most of the references are too old. There are studies conducted by many scholars, especially this study depends on time frame. The old reference indicated that the study considered as mathematical formula which stays for long time. 2. The references are not consistently written and some of them lack date and Journal name. 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Of course, A little bit, It needs slight change and modification</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The grammar and language should be edited 2. The references has to be currently used and consistent throughout the manuscript 3. In the analysis the inferential be part for analysis 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alemu Tsega Alene
Department, University & Country	Injibara University, Ethiopia