

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_121593
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Cluster Frontline Demonstration (CFLD) on production and productivity of Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) under Shivalik Foot hills of district Reasi, Jammu and Kashmir
Type of the Article	Original Research article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is very important for effective transfer of agricultural technologies up to the farming community. The manuscript may be very much helpful to the scientific community for planning, execution and proper management.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Quite Suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is comprehensive. Some suggestive corrections are needed as shown in manuscript with red colour.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically	Manuscript has been prepared scientifically showing the technology gap, extension gap , technological index, economic performance and client satisfaction index.	

Review Form 3

robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Sufficient.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality is suitable but some minor corrections are needed that has been shown in the manuscript with red colour for deletion and addition.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Appreciable study.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mukesh Chand Goyal
Department, University & Country	Agriculture University, India