

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_121593</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of Cluster Frontline Demonstration (CFLD) on production and productivity of Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) under Shivalik Foot hills of district Reasi, Jammu and Kashmir</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Comments mentioned in manuscript, after correction it is suitable for publication. Major correction in economics table, much wrong information provided, as per data base. Thoroughly recast needed of the manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Need to recast	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Comments mentioned in manuscript, after correction it is suitable for publication. Major correction in economics table, much wrong information provided, as per data base. Thoroughly recast needed of the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Front and language need to be correct.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Hedayetullah
Department, University & Country	BCKV, India