

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_121554
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of heavy metal contamination in fruits and vegetables from local markets of Chennai, Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The proposed study is significantly important but poorly presented and concluded. If it is well presented, the author may awareness the consumer about the toxic effects of the contaminated fruits and vegetables.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Some of the points are highlighted in the comment that should be addressed.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	There is utmost requirement to revise the table and figure number. However, suggested to provide the AAS chromatogram of the selected heavy metal in the fruits and vegetable at specified location of Chennai.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The proposed study is significantly important but poorly presented.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More new references should be added.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript needs to be proofread again and address the query raised by the reviewer.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rupak Kumar
Department, University & Country	ICMR, India