

**GENETIC VARIABILITY, CORRELATION AND PATH ANALYSIS OF YIELD
AND ITS ATTRIBUTING TRAITS IN RICE (*Oryza sativa* L.)**

India

Abstract

Genetic variability in a species is a crucial aspect that determines its adaptability, resilience and potentiality for improvement. The present study was aimed to estimate variability, correlation and path coefficients of fifteen rice accessions from Kerala Agricultural University for grain yield and its attributing traits. PCV was found to be higher than GCV for all the characters studied. High PCV and GCV values were recorded for the traits like flag leaf length, leaf area, number of filled grains per panicle, fertility percentage and single plant yield indicating that direct selection can be employed for the improvement of these traits. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was shown by the traits plant height, flag leaf length, flag leaf width, leaf area, number of filled grains per panicle, total grains per panicle and single plant yield. Hence direct selection will be highly effective for improvement of these traits. Single plant yield showed significant positive correlation with days to 50% flowering, number of filled grains per panicle, total number of grains per panicle and harvest index at phenotypic level and with harvest index at genotypic level. Path analysis has revealed that there exist direct and indirect effects for all the independent traits studied. The traits number of panicles, panicle length, 1000 grain weight, leaf area, grain length, kernel breadth and harvest index had positive direct effects on single plant yield at genotypic level. Analysis of phenotypic path coefficients showed that the characters like days to 50% flowering, plant height, number of tillers, flag leaf length, flag leaf width, total number of grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight, kernel length, kernel breadth and L/B ratio had significant positive direct effects. The results have shown that the accessions studied can be

used for further selection for crop improvement programmes. The interactions between the contributing traits are so complex that a balanced method of selection is to be practised for their improvement.

Keywords

Heritability, Genetic advance, GCV, PCV, Correlation, Path coefficient.

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), a self-pollinated cereal crop belonging to Poaceae family ($2n=2x=24$) holds immense significance for millions of individuals globally, especially in developing nations, and serves as the keystone of nourishment in the Asia-Pacific Region. Out of all the nations cultivating rice globally, India is the largest producer of rice accounting for an area 46.38 million hectare with a production of 130.29 million tonnes and productivity of 2,809 kg ha⁻¹ during 2021-2022 (GoI, 2023). Rice can be grown in extensive agroclimatic regions from mountainous land to below sea level areas like Kuttanad in Kerala. In Kerala rice occupies an area of 0.20 million hectare with a production of 6.3 lakh tonnes and an average productivity of 3105 kg ha⁻¹ (GoK, 2023). Even though the production and productivity is increasing compared to previous years, the demand for rice is expected to grow, driven by population growth, economic development, and changing dietary patterns, particularly in regions where rice is a staple food. Variability within rice species is a crucial aspect that influences its adaptability, resilience, and potentiality for improvement. Understanding the extent of genetic variation and its heritable aspects for economically significant traits within a population, holds immense value for plant breeders in shaping their breeding approaches.

Analysis of variance encompasses a range of statistical models and estimation techniques utilized to explore differences among means. It not only estimates variances but

also provides the basis of test of significance. Phenotypic variance arises from a combination of genetic and environmental influences, whereas genetic variance arises exclusively from genetic factors. Genetic parameters such as genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) offer insights into the extent of variability within a population. This information aids in directing genetic heterogeneity towards desired traits through appropriate selection criteria. Additionally, estimates of parameters like heritability and genetic advance assist plant breeders in identifying superior genotypes within a genetically diverse population. High heritability suggests that phenotype closely mirrors genotype, indicating minimal environmental influence on trait expression. This emphasizes the significant role of the genetic components in trait expression, providing information on the inheritance degree from parents to progeny. However, heritability estimates alone may not fully reveal the underlying gene action or the genetic progress resulting from selection. Effective selection strategies rely on the merits of various attributes, including genetic advance. Genetic advance refers to the improvement in the mean genotypic value of selected plants over the parental population. While heritability estimates gauge the relative efficacy of selection based on phenotypic expression, genetic advance offers a more beneficial tool for predicting the actual value of selection. On the other hand, the relationship of yield with other characters is also having great significance while formulating any selection program for crop improvement. Correlation studies measures the degree and direction of relationship between different variables. The selection based on grain yield alone in rice without considering the component characters is not effective since grain yield is a dependent character influenced by several other independent characters. Here, the knowledge of path analysis facilitates indirect selection for the genetic improvement of grain yield. In path coefficient analysis, the total correlation coefficient is partitioned into direct and indirect effects of component variables. Keeping these aspects in view, the present study has been conducted to estimate the

variability parameters, correlation and path coefficient analysis for grain yield and its attributing traits in fifteen rice accessions with the aim of better parental selection.

Materials and Methods

A study on fifteen rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes was conducted at M.S.Swaminathan Rice Research Station, Kerala Agricultural University, Moncompu, Alappuzha, Kerala during Kharif, 2023. The experiment area is geographically located at 9° 26' 15.8" N latitude and 76° 25' 42.3"E longitude. The list of genotypes which includes seven released varieties and eight prerelease cultures from Kerala Agricultural University is presented in Table 1.

The genotypes were raised in a Randomized Block Design with three replications. A spacing of 20 cm between rows and 15 cm between plants was followed with a plot size of 11 m². Observations on days to 50% flowering (DFE, %), plant height (PH, cm), number of tillers (TN), number of panicles (PN), flag leaf length (LL, cm), flag leaf width (LW, cm), leaf area (LA, cm²), panicle length (PL, cm), number of filled grains per panicle (FG), number of chaffy grains per panicle (CG), total number of grains per panicle (TG), 1000 grain weight (1000 GW, g), grain length (GL, cm), grain breadth (GB, cm), kernel length (KL, cm), kernel breadth (KB, cm), L/B Ratio, fertility percentage (FP, %), single plant yield (SPY, g) and harvest index (HI) were recorded. The following computations were done using the R studio software.

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) & Coefficient of Variation

Analysis of variance (ANOVA), as described by Panse and Sukhatme (1967), was conducted for all biometric traits to compare the different genotypes and to estimate variance components. The analysis of variance furnishes estimates of phenotypic and genotypic variances, which are utilized to compute their respective coefficients of variation. The

phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation were calculated as outlined by Burton (1952). Categorization of the range of variation was followed as reported by Sivasubramanian and Menon (1973) as low (< 10%), moderate (10-20%) and high (> 20%).

Heritability & Genetic Advance

Heritability in broad sense was calculated as per the formula suggested by Allard (1960). As suggested by Johnson *et al.* (1955) the range of heritability estimates in broad sense were categorized as low (<30%), moderate (30-60%) and high (> 60%). From the heritability estimates the genetic advance was estimated by the following formula outlined by Burton (1952). For visualizing the relative utility of genetic advance among the characters, genetic advance as per cent of mean was also estimated using the formula suggested by Govidaswamy *et al.* (1973). The range of genetic advance as per cent of mean was classified according to Johnson *et al.* (1955) as low (<10%), moderate (10-20%) and high (> 20%).

Correlation Analysis

Correlation analysis is a statistical tool employed to ascertain the magnitude and direction of the relationship between two or more variables. This encompasses various correlations, including genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients, determined through the formula given by Falconer (1964).

Path Co-efficient Analysis

Path coefficient analysis is a standardized partial regression coefficient which splits the correlation coefficient into the measures of direct and indirect effects as applied by Dewey and Lu (1959). The direct and indirect effects were classified based on range given by Lenka and Mishra (1973). It was categorised as very high (>1), high (0.30-0.99), moderate (0.20-0.29), low (0.10-0.19) and negligible (<0.09).

Results & Discussion

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) & Coefficient of Variation:

The analysis of variance of the characters under study (Table 2) has shown that there exists significant variation among the genotypes. The mean sum of squares of the genotypes was highly significant for all the traits except number of chaffy grains per panicle. The range, mean, variance, coefficient of variation, heritability (broad sense), genetic advance and genetic advance as percentage of mean of the various characters under study are presented in Table 3. Highest range of values was shown by the character total grains per panicle followed by filled grains per panicle and plant height. This indicates that there exists maximum variation for these traits for the genotypes studied. Similar results were reported by Lakshmi *et al.* (2022). Days to 50 % flowering showed a range from 89 to 114 days with a mean of 106.64. The genotypes studied showed high variability for all the characters. The phenotypic variance (σ^2_p) was higher than genotypic variance (σ^2_g) in all the characters studied. This indicates that there exists an influence of environment on the expression of the yield contributing traits studied. Plant height showed variation with comparable σ^2_p and σ^2_g values which is in accordance with Singh *et al.*, (2023). Panicle length also showed comparable σ^2_p and σ^2_g values. The phenotypic expression of the characters filled grains per panicle, total number of grains per panicle and fertility percentage was highly influenced by the environment which is evident from the comparatively higher σ^2_p compared to σ^2_g . Singh *et al.*, (2023) had reported a significant environmental effect on the expressivity of spikelet fertility.

Phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was also found to be higher than genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) as represented in Table 3 and Fig 1. The results were in concurrence with Nithya *et al.* (2020), Sudeepthi *et al.* (2020), Bhargava *et al.* (2021), Dey *et al.* (2021), Manivelan *et al.* (2022), Naik *et al.* (2022), Gayathridevi *et al.* (2023), Priyanga *et al.*

(2023) and Singh *et al.* (2023). The phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) was low for the characters *viz.*, days to 50% flowering, panicle length, 1000 grain weight, grain length, grain breadth, kernel length, kernel breadth and fertility percentage. This suggests that the scope for improvement for these traits in the genotypes is very low. Similar results for days to 50% flowering, was reported by Sudeepthi *et al.* (2020), Bhargava *et al.* (2021) and Manivelan *et al.* (2022), Naik *et al.* (2022); for kernel length, and kernel breadth by Manivelan *et al.* (2022); for panicle length by Sudeepthi *et al.* (2020), Manivelan *et al.* (2022), and Priyanga *et al.* (2023) and for 1000 grain weight by Bhargava *et al.* (2021). The characters plant height, number of tillers, number of panicles, flag leaf width and L/B ratio recorded moderate PCV and GCV values. Similar results for the traits were reported by Manivelan *et al.* (2022). Naik *et al.* (2022) reported moderate values for plant height, number of tillers as well as flag leaf width. Moderate PCV and GCV values were recorded for plant height by Sudeepthi *et al.* (2020) and Bhargava *et al.* (2021). Among the characters studied, the variation between PCV and GCV was the least for plant height. These conclusions are in accordance with the findings of Singh *et al.* (2023). Number of chaffy grains per panicle and total number of grains per panicle recorded moderate GCV values with high PCV whereas harvest index recorded low GCV and moderate PCV values. This indicates that the expression of these characters was influenced by environment and direct selection for these traits will not be effective. These results are in partial concurrence with the results obtained by Dey *et al.* (2021). Higher PCV and GCV values were observed for the traits like flag leaf length, leaf area, number of filled grains per panicle, fertility percentage and single plant yield which shows minor environmental effect on trait expression. This indicates that the phenotypic expression of these characters is directly influenced by the genotype and hence direct selection can be practiced for its improvement. Gayathridevi *et al.* (2023) reported that the character filled grains per panicle showed high PCV and

GCV. Similar result for grain yield per plant was reported by Nithya *et al.* (2020), Bhargava *et al.* (2021), Dey *et al.* (2021), Naik *et al.* (2022), Gayathridevi *et al.* (2023) Priyanga *et al.* (2023) and Singh *et al.* (2023). This suggests that there exists ample scope in the improvement of the trait single plant yield.

Heritability & Genetic Advance:

Heritability indicates that the character is governed by the genotypic action and is not that affected by environment. Days to 50 % flowering recorded the highest h^2b value (98.5 %) with moderate GA and GAM. Plant height also showed high h^2b with highest GA and high GAM. Similar findings were reported by Sudeepthi *et al.* (2020). The characters number of tillers and number of panicles exhibited low h^2b , GA and GAM, indicating that the selection for these traits will not be effective. For panicle length h^2b value was high (89.95) with low GA (4.0) and moderate GAM (18.09) values. Similar results were recorded by Singh *et al.* (2023). All the leaf characters recorded high h^2b . Number of filled grains per panicle and total number of grains per panicle showed moderate h^2b whereas number of chaffy grains per panicle showed very low broad sense heritability. The grain characters showed high h^2b with low to moderate GAM. Harvest index showed moderate h^2b and GAM. The character single plant yield recorded high broad sense heritability as well as GAM. These results are in concurrence with Singh *et al.* (2023).

High heritability and high genetic advance together will help us to identify the characters where direct selection can be applied for selecting the best genotypes. According to Panse and Sukhatme (1957) additive gene action is the reason for high genetic advance. Hence the traits plant height, flag leaf length, flag leaf width, leaf area, number of filled grains per panicle, total grains per panicle and single plant yield with high heritability (>60 %) and high genetic advance (>20%) can be used for identifying the best genotypes. Similar reports of high heritability and genetic advance for traits plant height, flag leaf length, flag leaf

width, number of filled grains per panicle, total number of grains per panicle and single plant yield was reported by Naik *et al.* (2022), plant height, flag leaf length, flag leaf width, total number of grains per panicle and single plant yield by Lakshmi *et al.* (2022), plant height, total number of grains per panicle and single plant yield by Sudeepthi *et al.* (2020) and Bhargava *et al.* (2021); for plant height and single plant yield by Nithya *et al.* (2020) and Priyanga *et al.* (2023); for total number of grains per panicle and single plant yield by Dey *et al.* (2021) and for single plant yield by Gayathridevi *et al.* (2023). High heritability coupled with moderate genetic advance was recorded in the traits like days to 50% flowering, panicle length, 1000 grain weight, grain breadth, kernel length, L/B ratio, fertility percentage and harvest index indicating both additive and non-additive gene action in the expression of the characters. This was in concurrence with the results obtained by Bhargava *et al.* (2021) for panicle length, fertility percentage and 1000 grain weight; Gayathridevi *et al.* (2023) for days to 50% flowering; Lakshmi *et al.* (2022) for panicle length; Manivelan *et al.* (2022) for days to 50% flowering, panicle length, kernel length and L/B ratio; Nithya *et al.* (2020) for 1000 grain weight and fertility percentage; Priyanga *et al.* (2023) for panicle length and Sudeepthi *et al.* (2020) for days to 50% flowering, panicle length and fertility percentage. Burton (1953) has suggested that to ascertain the efficiency of selection we must consider genetic variability along with heritability and genetic advance. In our present study the traits flag leaf length, leaf area, number of filled grains per panicle and single plant yield showed high values for GCV, PCV, h^2_b and GAM. This suggests that these traits can be improved by selection as the major player for their expression is additive gene action.

Correlation Analysis:

Yield of rice is directly and indirectly contributed by various traits. As all these traits are interacting each other and with the environment, study of their interrelationships also is very important in selecting the ideal genotypes. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation

analysis helps in understanding the association of the traits with each other. Days to 50% flowering showed significant positive correlation with flag leaf width, panicle length and total number of grains per panicle and negative correlation with 1000 grain weight at genotypic and phenotypic levels. Days to 50% flowering showed significant positive correlation at phenotypic level with plant height, flag leaf length, leaf area, number of filled grains per panicle, fertility percentage and single plant yield and negative correlation with grain length, kernel length and L/B ratio. Similar results for days to 50% flowering and panicle length was reported by Sudeepthi *et al.* (2020) and Manivelan *et al.* (2022) and days to 50% flowering with total grains per panicle was reported by Sudeepthi *et al.* (2020). Plant height showed significant positive genotypic and phenotypic correlations with flag leaf length, leaf area, panicle length and fertility percentage. It also showed significant negative correlation with chaffy grains per panicle at genotypic level and positive correlation with flag leaf width at phenotypic level. Sudeepthi *et al.* (2020), Bhargava *et al.* (2021) and Singh *et al.* (2023) reported similar results for plant height and panicle length. Gayathridevi *et al.* (2023) reported significant correlations of plant height with flag leaf length.

Significant positive correlations at both genotypic and phenotypic levels were observed between number of tillers and number of panicles. This was in concurrence with the results of Sudeepthi *et al.* (2020) and Manivelan *et al.* (2022). Number of tillers showed significant negative correlation with flag leaf width at both levels and with grain breadth at phenotypic level. There was a significant positive genotypic and phenotypic correlation of flag leaf length with leaf area. Flag leaf length showed positive correlations with flag leaf width, panicle length, number of filled grains per panicle and negative correlation with grain length at phenotypic level. Significant positive correlations were recorded between flag leaf width and leaf area, number of filled grains per panicle, total number of grains per panicle and fertility percentage at both levels. At phenotypic level flag leaf width was significantly

correlated with panicle length. Leaf area showed positive phenotypic and genotypic correlations with panicle length, number of filled grains per panicle and total number of grains per panicle whereas panicle length showed correlations with number of filled grains per panicle and total number of grains per panicle which was significant at both levels.

Number of filled grains per panicle was positively correlated with total number of grains per panicle and fertility percentage at both phenotypic and genotypic levels and with single plant yield at phenotypic level. Number of chaffy grains per panicle showed significant negative correlations with fertility percentage and harvest index at genotypic and phenotypic levels. The trait also showed a positive correlation with grain breadth. There was a significant positive correlation between total number of grains per panicle and fertility percentage at genotypic level and with single plant yield at phenotypic level. Total number of grains per panicle showed significant negative phenotypic correlation with L/B ratio. Panicle length and total number of grains per panicle as well as total number of grains and fertility percentage was significantly correlated in the studies of Sudeepthi *et al.* (2020) and Bhargava *et al.* (2021). Total number of grains per panicle had significant positive correlations with leaf area and fertility percentage as reported by Singh *et al.* (2023) and with filled grains per panicle as per the studies of Gayathridevii *et al.* (2023).

1000 grain weight showed significant positive phenotypic correlation with grain length, kernel length, L/B ratio and harvest index whereas significant genotypic correlation was shown only with kernel length. Significant positive genotypic correlations were recorded by grain length with kernel length and L/B ratio and by grain breadth with kernel breadth. The trait grain breadth showed significant negative correlations with L/B ratio at genotypic and phenotypic levels and with kernel length at phenotypic level. Kernel length was positively correlated with L/B ratio and it was significant. At phenotypic level kernel length showed a significant negative correlation with kernel breadth. The correlation between kernel

breadth and L/B ratio was negative and significant at both levels. The traits fertility percentage and harvest index were positively correlated at the phenotypic level and their effects were significant. Harvest index and single plant yield were positively correlated significantly at both genotypic and phenotypic levels.

Single plant yield was significantly correlated to days to 50 % flowering, number of filled grains per panicle, total number of grains per panicle and harvest index at phenotypic level and with harvest index only at genotypic level. Single plant yield recorded non-significant positive associations with days to 50% flowering, plant height, number of tillers, number of panicles, flag leaf length, leaf area, panicle length, number of filled grains per panicle, total number of grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight and fertility percentage at genotypic level. Non-significant association of yield with number of tillers was reported by Nithya *et al.* (2020). Similar results for number of tillers, number of panicles and panicle length were also reported by Priyanga *et al.* (2023). Positive associations were noted for single plant yield with days to 50 % flowering, plant height, panicle length, number of tillers, leaf area, number of grains per panicle and number of filled grains per panicle by Naik *et al.* (2022). The interactions between the contributing traits studied are so complex that a balanced method of selection for these characters is to be followed.

Path Analysis

Path coefficient analysis is carried out to identify the direct and indirect effect of the characters. Path coefficient divides the correlation coefficients of the yield contributing characters on the dependent variable *i.e.*, yield into direct and indirect effects. This is important for estimating the degree and direction of association thereby employing suitable methods of selection. The results of the path analysis have shown that there exist direct and indirect effects for all the independent traits studied. The direct effects of the traits number of

panicles, kernel breadth and L/B ratio was very high and positive at the genotypic level. The highest direct effect was recorded by number of panicles followed by kernel breadth. Kernel length also showed very high direct effects in a negative direction. Total number of grains per panicle, leaf area, grain length and harvest index showed high positive direct effects whereas number of filled grains per panicle, number of chaffy grains per panicle and fertility percentage showed high negative direct effects. Direct effects were moderate for plant height, panicle length, 1000 grain weight and grain breadth and low for leaf length. The effects of days to 50% flowering, number of tillers and leaf width were found to be negligible at the genotypic level. The phenotypic path coefficients were very high for the flag leaf length, flag leaf width, total number of grains per panicle in the forward direction whereas for number of panicles, leaf area, number of filled grains per panicle and number of chaffy grains per panicle in the reverse direction. The highest direct effect at phenotypic level was shown by flag leaf length. High direct effects at the phenotypic level were shown by days to 50% flowering, plant height, 1000 grain weight and fertility percentage. The direct phenotypic effect was moderate for the traits number of tillers, grain length, grain breadth, kernel length, kernel breadth and harvest index. Panicle length and L/B ratio recorded low direct effects at phenotypic level. Similar result for number of panicles and fertility percentage was obtained by Bhargava *et al.* (2021).

Genotypic path analysis has revealed very high positive indirect effects for number of panicles through number of tillers and flag leaf length, kernel length through days to 50% flowering, leaf area, panicle length, number of filled grains per panicle, total number of grains per panicle, number of chaffy grains per panicle, grain breadth and kernel breadth, kernel breadth through number of chaffy grains per panicle, L/B ratio through number of tillers, 1000 grain weight, grain length and kernel length. Very high positive indirect effects was recorded by number of panicles through flag leaf width and grain breadth, flag leaf length

through leaf area, flag leaf width through leaf area and total number of grains per panicle through flag leaf width and number of filled grains per panicle on phenotypic path analysis. Priyanga *et al.* (2023), reported similar results for number of tillers and panicle length.

A critical analysis of the results shows that the traits number of panicles, panicle length, 1000 grain weight, leaf area, grain length, kernel breadth and harvest index exerted positive direct effects on grain yield at genotypic level. The analysis of phenotypic path coefficients reveals that the days to 50% flowering, plant height, number of tillers, flag leaf length, flag leaf width, total number of grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight, kernel length, kernel breadth and L/B ratio are the traits with significant positive direct effects. Gayathridevii *et al.* (2023) have reported similar results for number of tillers and total grains per panicle. A closer evaluation of the indirect effects of contributing traits studied has shown that all the traits showed high or very high indirect effects on single plant yield through one or the other trait revealing the importance of employing them in efficient methods of selection.

Conclusion

The present study for assessing and partitioning the genetic variability has revealed that the genotypes showed variation for all the characters studied. High PCV, GCV along with high heritability and genetic advance was recorded for the traits, total number of grains per panicle, number of filled grains per panicle, flag leaf length, leaf area and single plant yield asserting the importance of these traits in selection. Significant positive correlations was obtained for the traits days to 50% flowering, number of filled grains per panicle, total grains per panicle and harvest index with single plant yield. Positive associations was also obtained for the characters plant height, number of tillers, number of panicles, flag leaf length, leaf area, panicle length and 1000 grain weight with positive direct effects for number of panicles, panicle length, 1000 grain weight, leaf area and harvest index. It is also to be noted that the

characters studied are to be prioritized based on the overall results for selecting the best genotypes. The traits number of panicles, panicle length, flag leaf length, leaf area, total number of grains per panicle, number of filled grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight, and harvest index are to be given weightage in selection for grain yield. Thus it is revealed that the genotypes studied can be used for further selection for grain yield for utilising rice breeding programmes.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 1: List of Genotypes in the study

| Sl No. | Genotype | Variety/ Prerelease culture |
|--------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | Uma (MO 16) | Varieties |
| 2 | Jyothi (PTB 39) | |
| 3 | Prathyasa (MO 21) | |
| 4 | Makom (MO 9) | |
| 5 | Shreyas (MO 22) | |
| 6 | Pournami (MO 23) | |
| 7 | KAU Manu Rathna | |
| 8 | KAUM 236-1-2-1-1-1 | Prerelease cultures |
| 9 | KAUM 238-1-1-1-1-1 | |
| 10 | KAUM 240-1-3-2-2-2 | |
| 11 | KAUM 242-4-2-1-1-1 | |
| 12 | KAUM 250-1-1-1-1-1 | |
| 13 | KAUM 255-1-2-1-1-1-1 | |
| 14 | KAUM 259-5-3-1-1-1 | |
| 15 | KAUM 261-1-3-1-1-1-1 | |

Table 2: Analysis of variance of the characters under study

| Source | Mean Sum of Squares | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | Replication | Genotype | Error |
| df | 2 | 14 | 28 |
| Characters under study | | | |
| DFP (%) | 1.622 | 146.308*** | 0.741 |
| PH (cm) | 65.72 | 670.47*** | 5.24 |
| TN | 0.5136 | 3.9404*** | 0.8897 |
| PN | 0.2936 | 3.7914** | 1.1593 |
| LL(cm) | 2.219 | 131.5*** | 1.659 |
| LW(cm) | 0.021236 | 0.138602*** | 0.003597 |
| LA(cm ²) | 10.38 | 331.22*** | 5.83 |
| PL(cm) | 0.0545 | 13.0672*** | 0.4694 |
| FG | 187.54 | 1133.87*** | 103.2 |
| CG | 7.509 | 48.771 | 32.594 |
| TG | 179.87 | 1039.24*** | 179.72 |
| 1000 GW(g) | 0.1016 | 12.5959*** | 0.3539 |
| GL(cm) | 0.04345 | 0.54965*** | 0.04002 |
| GB(cm) | 0.020482 | 0.149583*** | 0.007394 |
| KL(cm) | 0.00114 | 0.44915*** | 0.01799 |
| KB(cm) | 0.001336 | 0.050580*** | 0.005086 |
| L/B Ratio | 0.000719 | 0.160426*** | 0.009045 |
| FP(%) | 11.916 | 57.858** | 19.932 |
| HI | 0.0009266 | 0.0036034*** | 0.0004366 |
| SPY(g) | 0.5334 | 16.7182*** | 0.6067 |

**** - significant at 0.001 *** - significant at 0.01

Table 3: Range, Mean, Variance, Coefficient of Variation, Heritability (broad sense), Genetic Advance and Genetic Advance as percentage of Mean of the characters under study

| Character | Range | | Grand Mean | Variance | | | Coefficient of variation | | | h ² b (Broad Sense) | Genetic Advance (GA) | Genetic Advance as percentage of mean (GAM) |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---|
| | Maximum | Minimum | | Environ (σ ² e) | Genotypic(σ ² g) | Phenotypic (σ ² p) | ECV | GCV | PCV | | | |
| DFF (%) | 114.00 | 89.00 | 106.64 | 0.74 | 48.52 | 49.26 | 0.81 | 6.53 | 6.58 | 98.5 | 14.24 | 13.35 |
| PH (cm) | 145.20 | 84.40 | 110.45 | 5.24 | 221.75 | 226.98 | 2.07 | 13.48 | 13.64 | 97.69 | 30.32 | 27.45 |
| TN | 12.60 | 7.00 | 9.84 | 0.89 | 1.02 | 1.91 | 9.59 | 10.25 | 14.04 | 53.34 | 1.52 | 15.42 |
| PN | 12.60 | 6.60 | 9.32 | 1.16 | 0.88 | 2.04 | 11.56 | 10.05 | 15.32 | 43.08 | 1.27 | 13.59 |
| LL (cm) | 44.00 | 16.60 | 30.97 | 1.66 | 43.28 | 44.94 | 4.16 | 21.24 | 21.64 | 96.31 | 13.30 | 42.94 |
| LW (cm) | 1.90 | 1.10 | 1.43 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 4.19 | 14.81 | 15.39 | 92.59 | 0.42 | 29.36 |
| LA (cm ²) | 55.86 | 13.70 | 33.77 | 5.83 | 108.46 | 114.29 | 7.15 | 30.84 | 31.65 | 94.9 | 20.90 | 61.88 |
| PL (cm) | 27.00 | 17.60 | 22.13 | 0.47 | 4.20 | 4.67 | 3.10 | 9.26 | 9.76 | 89.95 | 4.00 | 18.09 |
| FG | 132.50 | 63.10 | 91.02 | 103.20 | 343.55 | 446.76 | 11.16 | 20.36 | 23.22 | 76.9 | 33.48 | 36.79 |
| CG | 38.00 | 8.60 | 16.90 | 32.59 | 5.39 | 37.99 | 33.78 | 13.74 | 36.47 | 14.2 | 1.80 | 10.66 |
| TG | 149.20 | 79.00 | 107.61 | 179.72 | 286.51 | 466.23 | 12.46 | 15.73 | 20.07 | 61.45 | 27.33 | 25.40 |
| 1000 GW (g) | 31.60 | 23.20 | 26.83 | 0.35 | 4.08 | 4.43 | 2.22 | 7.53 | 7.85 | 92.02 | 3.99 | 14.88 |
| GL (cm) | 8.94 | 7.20 | 8.28 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 2.42 | 4.98 | 5.53 | 80.94 | 0.76 | 9.23 |
| GB (cm) | 3.53 | 2.61 | 3.04 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 2.83 | 7.16 | 7.70 | 86.5 | 0.42 | 13.72 |
| KL (cm) | 6.77 | 5.15 | 5.88 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 2.28 | 6.45 | 6.84 | 88.87 | 0.74 | 12.52 |
| KB (cm) | 2.84 | 2.38 | 2.64 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 2.70 | 4.67 | 5.40 | 74.88 | 0.22 | 8.32 |
| L/B Ratio | 2.82 | 1.88 | 2.24 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 4.25 | 10.05 | 10.91 | 84.87 | 0.43 | 19.07 |
| FP (%) | 92.92 | 67.01 | 83.99 | 19.93 | 12.64 | 32.57 | 5.32 | 4.23 | 6.79 | 38.81 | 4.56 | 5.43 |
| HI | 0.42 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.84 | 9.27 | 10.83 | 73.33 | 0.06 | 16.35 |
| SPY (g) | 12.38 | 3.81 | 8.21 | 0.61 | 5.37 | 5.98 | 9.49 | 28.22 | 29.77 | 89.85 | 4.53 | 55.11 |

Table 4: Genotypic Correlation Coefficient of Yield and the Contributing Traits

| | DFF | PH | TN | PN | LL | LW | LA | PL | FG | CG | TG | 1000GW | GL | GB | KL | KB | L/B | FP | HI | SPY |
|--------|------|--------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| DFF | 1 ** | 0.4628 | 0.084 | 0.1871 | 0.2977 | 0.5348 * | 0.427 | 0.7021 ** | 0.4967 | -0.0955 | 0.5332 * | -0.5355 * | -0.3297 | -0.114 | -0.4141 | 0.1499 | -0.3548 | 0.5107 | -0.0844 | 0.3937 |
| PH | | 1 ** | -0.2762 | -0.2121 | 0.5705 * | 0.443 | 0.5768 * | 0.6939 ** | 0.3128 | -0.8188 ** | 0.2176 | 0.1173 | -0.1173 | 0.0429 | -0.0303 | 0.0363 | -0.0463 | 0.6732 ** | 0.1962 | 0.1334 |
| TN | | | 1 ** | 0.9775 ** | 0.0626 | -0.5573 * | -0.2666 | -0.2446 | -0.2091 | 0.393 | -0.1533 | -0.2612 | -0.0075 | -0.5085 | -0.0064 | -0.3529 | 0.1783 | -0.2503 | 0.0932 | 0.4452 |
| PN | | | | 1 ** | 0.2387 | -0.452 | -0.0931 | -0.1727 | -0.0628 | 0.1305 | -0.0391 | -0.2951 | -0.0305 | -0.4634 | -0.0276 | -0.2125 | 0.0964 | -0.016 | 0.0425 | 0.3911 |
| LL | | | | | 1 ** | 0.4931 | 0.8972 ** | 0.5281 * | 0.3639 | 0.1143 | 0.3774 | 0.1608 | -0.3946 | 0.165 NS | -0.2209 | 0.1961 | -0.2461 | 0.2078 | -0.3227 | -0.1692 |
| LW | | | | | | 1 ** | 0.8204 ** | 0.5041 | 0.8063 ** | -0.2593 S | 0.8261 ** | 0.0213 | -0.127 | 0.3569 | -0.2132 | 0.2953 | -0.2964 | 0.6588 ** | -0.2143 | 0.0026 |
| LA | | | | | | | 1 ** | 0.5745 * | 0.6362 * | -0.0251 | 0.6555 ** | 0.1452 | -0.3155 | 0.3182 | -0.2546 | 0.3066 | -0.3265 | 0.4406 | -0.3297 | -0.1237 |
| PL | | | | | | | | 1 ** | 0.5357 * | -0.0951 | 0.5412 * | -0.1238 | -0.2589 | 0.1332 | -0.2698 | 0.1776 | -0.2798 | 0.4905 | -0.172 | 0.0571 |
| FG | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | -0.4886 | 0.9997 ** | 0.1719 | -0.1872 | 0.2719 | -0.2191 | 0.1589 | -0.2371 | 0.8939 ** | 0.0621 | 0.3241 |
| CG | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | -0.431 | 0.0022 | -0.1074 | 0.6804 ** | -0.2619 | 0.3871 | -0.3643 | -0.8006 ** | -0.9651 ** | -0.3157 |
| TG | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | 0.1752 | -0.1908 | 0.3845 | -0.25 | 0.2363 | -0.2964 | 0.8635 ** | -0.0243 | 0.3437 |
| 1000GW | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | 0.3642 | 0.2354 | 0.5637 * | 0.0357 | 0.3565 | 0.0431 | 0.389 | 0.0187 |
| GL | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | -0.2402 | 0.9259 ** | -0.2986 | 0.7643 ** | -0.0572 | 0.096 | -0.1045 |
| GB | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | -0.3718 | 0.9008 ** | -0.699 ** | -0.2793 | -0.145 | -0.0223 |
| KL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | -0.4655 | 0.8953 ** | 0.0132 | 0.2242 | -0.1705 |
| KB | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | -0.8107 ** | -0.1465 | 0 | 0.2451 |
| L/B | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | 0.0729 | 0.1463 | -0.2384 |
| FP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | 0.5112 | 0.416 |
| HI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | 0.7646 ** |
| SPY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** |

Table 5: Phenotypic Correlation Coefficient of Yield and the Contributing Traits

| | DFP | PH | TN | PN | LL | LW | LA | PL | FG | CG | TG | 1000GW | GL | GB | KL | KB | L/B | FP | HI | SPY |
|--------|------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| DFP | 1 ** | 0.4477 ** | 0.0608 | 0.1165 | 0.2962 * | 0.5101 ** | 0.4182 ** | 0.6548 ** | 0.4164 ** | -0.087 | 0.3833 ** | -0.5051 ** | -0.2979 * | -0.1014 | -0.3679 * | 0.1052 | -0.3012 * | 0.3528 * | -0.0693 | 0.3727 * |
| PH | | 1 ** | -0.1683 | -0.1188 | 0.5471 ** | 0.4268 ** | 0.555 ** | 0.6336 ** | 0.2885 | -0.2883 | 0.193 | 0.1157 | -0.1063 | 0.0408 | -0.0289 | 0.0316 | -0.0427 | 0.4091 ** | 0.1664 | 0.1315 |
| TN | | | 1 ** | 0.9045 ** | 0.044 | -0.3575 * | -0.1694 | -0.152 | -0.1397 | 0.1311 | -0.0853 | -0.1151 | -0.0597 | -0.3493 * | -0.0007 | -0.2051 | 0.1117 | -0.142 | -0.0646 | 0.2681 |
| PN | | | | 1 ** | 0.1399 | -0.23 | -0.0391 | -0.0905 | -0.0415 | 0.1278 | 0.009 | -0.0744 | -0.0925 | -0.2573 | -0.0193 | -0.1195 | 0.0542 | -0.0926 | -0.127 | 0.2194 |
| LL | | | | | 1 ** | 0.4823 ** | 0.892 ** | 0.4973 ** | 0.311 * | 0.038 | 0.291 | 0.1441 | -0.332 * | 0.1536 | -0.1986 | 0.138 | -0.2056 | 0.1303 | -0.2706 | -0.1666 |
| LW | | | | | | 1 ** | 0.8186 ** | 0.4928 ** | 0.7176 ** | -0.0478 | 0.6832 ** | 0.0125 | -0.1177 | 0.286 | -0.1767 | 0.2208 | -0.2378 | 0.3736 * | -0.1827 | 0.0012 |
| LA | | | | | | | 1 ** | 0.5464 ** | 0.5628 ** | 0.0101 | 0.5339 ** | 0.1304 | -0.2653 | 0.2785 | -0.2186 | 0.226 | -0.2671 | 0.261 | -0.2798 | -0.1218 |
| PL | | | | | | | | 1 ** | 0.46 ** | 0.0086 | 0.4336 ** | -0.117 | -0.2096 | 0.0754 | -0.2537 | 0.1395 | -0.2504 | 0.2641 | -0.1848 | 0.0385 |
| FG | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | -0.066 | 0.9532 ** | 0.1328 | -0.1502 | 0.1967 NS | -0.2058 | 0.1935 | -0.2442 | 0.5305 ** | 0.0615 | 0.3093 * |
| CG | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | 0.2232 | -0.0229 | -0.0781 | 0.1767 | -0.1613 | 0.2814 | -0.2453 | -0.8673 ** | -0.3244 * | -0.126 |
| TG | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | 0.1115 | -0.1532 | 0.23 | -0.241 | 0.2595 | -0.2998 * | 0.2706 | -0.0194 | 0.2938* |
| 1000GW | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | 0.3213 * | 0.265 | 0.5013 ** | 0.0339 | 0.3038 * | 0.0438 | 0.2945 * | 0.0145 |
| GL | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | -0.2102 | 0.8161 ** | -0.2756 | 0.6726 ** | -0.0026 | 0.0328 | -0.113 |
| GB | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | -0.3257 * | 0.7543 ** | -0.6142 ** | -0.1257 | -0.0969 | -0.0151 |
| KL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | -0.4442 ** | 0.8822 ** | 0.0379 | 0.1487 | -0.1464 |
| KB | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | -0.8123 ** | -0.1879 | 0.0469 | 0.1971 |
| L/B | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | 0.1127 | 0.0749 | -0.2041 |
| FP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | 0.3021 * | 0.2772 |
| HI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** | 0.6472 ** |
| SPY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 ** |

Table 6: Genotypic Path Analysis showing Direct and Indirect Effects of the Contributing Traits on Yield

| | DFE | PH | TN | PN | LL | LW | LA | PL | FG | CG | TG | 1000GW | GL | GB | KL | KB | L/B | FP | HI |
|--------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| DFE | 0.0047 | -0.1279 | -0.0032 | 1.0586 | -0.0449 | 0.0222 | 0.1496 | 0.1850 | -0.2600 | 0.0341 | 0.3490 | -0.1097 | -0.1098 | 0.0288 | 1.9136 | 0.4637 | -2.1776 | -0.2302 | -0.0542 |
| PH | 0.0022 | -0.2763 | 0.0104 | -1.2002 | -0.0860 | 0.0184 | 0.2021 | 0.1829 | -0.1638 | 0.2927 | 0.1424 | 0.0240 | -0.0391 | -0.0108 | 0.1398 | 0.1123 | -0.2841 | -0.3035 | 0.1261 |
| TN | 0.0004 | 0.0763 | -0.0377 | 5.5308 | -0.0094 | -0.0232 | -0.0934 | -0.0644 | 0.1095 | -0.1405 | -0.1003 | -0.0535 | -0.0025 | 0.1283 | 0.0295 | -1.0921 | 1.0947 | 0.1128 | 0.0598 |
| PN | 0.0009 | 0.0586 | -0.0369 | 5.6580 | -0.0360 | -0.0188 | -0.0326 | -0.0455 | 0.0329 | -0.0467 | -0.0256 | -0.0605 | -0.0102 | 0.1169 | 0.1276 | -0.6575 | 0.5919 | 0.0072 | 0.0272 |
| LL | 0.0014 | -0.1576 | -0.0024 | 1.3507 | -0.1508 | 0.0205 | 0.3144 | 0.1392 | -0.1905 | -0.0409 | 0.2470 | 0.0330 | -0.1314 | -0.0416 | 1.0210 | 0.6069 | -1.5108 | -0.0937 | -0.2074 |
| LW | 0.0025 | -0.1224 | 0.0210 | -2.5577 | -0.0743 | 0.0416 | 0.2875 | 0.1328 | -0.4221 | 0.0927 | 0.5408 | 0.0044 | -0.0423 | -0.0900 | 0.9850 | 0.9144 | -1.8201 | -0.2970 | -0.1380 |
| LA | 0.0020 | -0.1594 | 0.0101 | -0.5268 | -0.1353 | 0.0341 | 0.3504 | 0.1514 | -0.3330 | 0.0090 | 0.4291 | 0.0298 | -0.1051 | -0.0803 | 1.1764 | 0.9488 | -2.0042 | -0.1986 | -0.2119 |
| PL | 0.0033 | -0.1917 | 0.0092 | -0.9771 | -0.0796 | 0.0210 | 0.2013 | 0.2635 | -0.2804 | 0.0340 | 0.3543 | -0.0254 | -0.0862 | -0.0336 | 1.2470 | 0.5497 | -1.7178 | -0.2211 | -0.1106 |
| FG | 0.0023 | -0.0864 | 0.0079 | -0.3552 | -0.0549 | 0.0335 | 0.2229 | 0.1412 | -0.5234 | 0.1746 | 0.6543 | 0.0352 | -0.0624 | -0.0686 | 1.0125 | 0.4915 | -1.4555 | -0.4030 | 0.0399 |
| CG | -0.0005 | 0.2262 | -0.0148 | 0.7385 | -0.0172 | -0.0108 | -0.0088 | -0.0251 | 0.2557 | -0.3574 | -0.2821 | 0.0005 | -0.0358 | -0.1717 | 1.2103 | 1.1979 | -2.2361 | 0.3609 | -0.6205 |
| TG | 0.0025 | -0.0601 | 0.0058 | -0.2211 | -0.0569 | 0.0343 | 0.2297 | 0.1426 | -0.5233 | 0.1540 | 0.6546 | 0.0359 | -0.0636 | -0.0970 | 1.1555 | 0.7311 | -1.8196 | -0.3893 | -0.0156 |
| 1000GW | -0.0025 | -0.0324 | 0.0099 | -1.6697 | -0.0242 | 0.0009 | 0.0509 | -0.0326 | -0.0900 | -0.0008 | 0.1147 | 0.2049 | 0.1213 | -0.0594 | -2.6053 | 0.1105 | 2.1882 | -0.0194 | 0.2501 |
| GL | -0.0016 | 0.0324 | 0.0003 | -0.1725 | 0.0595 | -0.0053 | -0.1106 | -0.0682 | 0.0980 | 0.0384 | -0.1249 | 0.0746 | 0.3331 | 0.0606 | -4.2791 | -0.9236 | 4.6911 | 0.0258 | 0.0619 |
| GB | -0.0005 | -0.0119 | 0.0192 | -2.6219 | -0.0249 | 0.0148 | 0.1115 | 0.0351 | -0.1423 | -0.2432 | 0.2516 | 0.0482 | -0.0800 | -0.2523 | 1.7184 | 2.7872 | -4.2899 | 0.1259 | -0.0936 |
| KL | -0.0020 | 0.0084 | 0.0002 | -0.1562 | 0.0333 | -0.0089 | -0.0892 | -0.0711 | 0.1147 | 0.0936 | -0.1637 | 0.1155 | 0.3084 | 0.0938 | -4.6214 | -1.4403 | 5.4960 | -0.0059 | 0.1440 |
| KB | 0.0007 | -0.0100 | 0.0133 | -1.2024 | -0.0296 | 0.0123 | 0.1075 | 0.0468 | -0.0832 | -0.1384 | 0.1547 | 0.0073 | -0.0994 | -0.2273 | 2.1514 | 3.0938 | -4.9778 | 0.0661 | 0.0000 |
| L/B | -0.0017 | 0.0128 | -0.0067 | 0.5456 | 0.0371 | -0.0123 | -0.1144 | -0.0738 | 0.1241 | 0.1302 | -0.1940 | 0.0731 | 0.2546 | 0.1763 | -4.1379 | -2.5090 | 6.1381 | -0.0329 | 0.0942 |
| FP | 0.0024 | -0.1860 | 0.0094 | -0.0906 | -0.0313 | 0.0274 | 0.1544 | 0.1293 | -0.4679 | 0.2862 | 0.5652 | 0.0088 | -0.0190 | 0.0705 | -0.0609 | -0.4533 | 0.4477 | -0.4508 | 0.3286 |
| HI | -0.0004 | -0.0541 | -0.0035 | 0.2393 | 0.0486 | -0.0089 | -0.1153 | -0.0453 | -0.0324 | 0.3443 | -0.0159 | 0.0796 | 0.0320 | 0.0367 | -1.0334 | 0.0000 | 0.8980 | -0.2300 | 0.6380 |

Residual effect: -0.0139

Table 7: Phenotypic Path Analysis showing Direct and Indirect Effects of the Contributing Traits on Yield

| | DFE | PH | TN | PN | LL | LW | LA | PL | FG | CG | TG | 1000GW | GL | GB | KL | KB | L/B | FP | HI |
|--------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| DFE | 0.3723 | 0.2405 | 0.0126 | -0.7067 | 0.5349 | 0.6669 | -1.5307 | -0.1234 | -0.4123 | 0.0998 | 0.6618 | -0.2842 | 0.0764 | 0.0283 | -0.0845 | 0.0311 | 0.0462 | -0.2267 | 0.0294 |
| PH | 0.1667 | 0.5371 | -0.0349 | 0.7206 | 0.9880 | 0.5579 | -2.0314 | -0.1194 | -0.2857 | 0.3309 | 0.3332 | 0.0651 | 0.0272 | -0.0114 | -0.0066 | 0.0094 | 0.0066 | -0.2629 | -0.0699 |
| TN | 0.0227 | -0.0904 | 0.2072 | -5.4855 | 0.0795 | -0.4683 | 0.6200 | 0.0286 | 0.1383 | -0.1503 | -0.1473 | -0.0648 | 0.0154 | 0.0973 | 0.0004 | -0.0618 | -0.0169 | 0.0912 | 0.0236 |
| PN | 0.0434 | -0.0638 | 0.1873 | -6.0642 | 0.2526 | -0.3034 | 0.1431 | 0.0171 | 0.0411 | -0.1467 | 0.0157 | -0.0417 | 0.0239 | 0.0716 | -0.0044 | -0.0350 | -0.0084 | 0.0595 | 0.0533 |
| LL | 0.1103 | 0.2939 | 0.0091 | -0.8486 | 1.8058 | 0.6310 | -3.2649 | -0.0937 | -0.3079 | -0.0436 | 0.5024 | 0.0811 | 0.0851 | -0.0427 | -0.0456 | 0.0410 | 0.0315 | -0.0837 | 0.1134 |
| LW | 0.1898 | 0.2291 | -0.0742 | 1.4073 | 0.8713 | 1.3186 | -2.9959 | -0.0930 | -0.7105 | 0.0549 | 1.1796 | 0.0073 | 0.0305 | -0.0809 | -0.0415 | 0.0661 | 0.0371 | -0.2400 | 0.0493 |
| LA | 0.1557 | 0.2981 | -0.0351 | 0.2372 | 1.6108 | 1.0705 | -3.6602 | -0.1030 | -0.5572 | -0.0116 | 0.9218 | 0.0734 | 0.0680 | -0.0775 | -0.0502 | 0.0670 | 0.0410 | -0.1677 | 0.1179 |
| PL | 0.2438 | 0.3403 | -0.0315 | 0.5490 | 0.8980 | 0.6452 | -1.9999 | -0.1884 | -0.4554 | -0.0099 | 0.7486 | -0.0658 | 0.0539 | -0.0209 | -0.0584 | 0.0414 | 0.0384 | -0.1697 | 0.0754 |
| FG | 0.1550 | 0.1550 | -0.0289 | 0.2517 | 0.5616 | 0.9385 | -2.0599 | -0.0867 | -0.9901 | 0.0757 | 1.6457 | 0.0747 | 0.0385 | -0.0547 | -0.0473 | 0.0573 | 0.0375 | -0.3409 | -0.0257 |
| CG | -0.0324 | -0.1549 | 0.0271 | -0.7752 | 0.0686 | -0.0625 | -0.0370 | -0.0016 | 0.0654 | -1.1476 | 0.3854 | -0.0129 | 0.0201 | -0.0492 | -0.0371 | 0.0834 | 0.0376 | 0.5573 | 0.1357 |
| TG | 0.1427 | 0.1037 | -0.0177 | -0.0552 | 0.5255 | 0.8935 | -1.9542 | -0.0817 | -0.9438 | -0.2562 | 1.7265 | 0.0627 | 0.0393 | -0.0640 | -0.0553 | 0.0768 | 0.0460 | -0.1739 | 0.0080 |
| 1000GW | -0.1880 | 0.0621 | -0.0239 | 0.4501 | 0.2602 | 0.0169 | -0.4773 | 0.0220 | -0.1315 | 0.0263 | 0.1925 | 0.5627 | -0.0824 | -0.0739 | 0.1153 | 0.0099 | -0.0466 | -0.0281 | -0.1238 |
| GL | -0.1109 | -0.0571 | -0.0125 | 0.5660 | -0.5997 | -0.1554 | 0.9707 | 0.0396 | 0.1488 | 0.0899 | -0.2645 | 0.1808 | -0.2564 | 0.0597 | 0.1882 | -0.0818 | -0.1029 | 0.0017 | 0.0000 |
| GB | -0.0378 | 0.0219 | -0.0724 | 1.5614 | 0.2774 | 0.3802 | -1.0194 | -0.0142 | -0.1947 | -0.2028 | 0.3973 | 0.1495 | 0.0550 | -0.2792 | -0.0756 | 0.2223 | 0.0940 | 0.0808 | 0.0464 |
| KL | -0.1369 | -0.0155 | 0.0004 | 0.1165 | -0.3585 | -0.2361 | 0.8005 | 0.0479 | 0.2038 | 0.1852 | -0.4159 | 0.2824 | -0.2101 | 0.0916 | 0.2301 | -0.1346 | -0.1345 | -0.0244 | -0.0810 |
| KB | 0.0391 | 0.0170 | -0.0432 | 0.7158 | 0.2496 | 0.2915 | -0.8265 | -0.0263 | -0.1914 | -0.3228 | 0.4473 | 0.0187 | 0.0707 | -0.2085 | -0.1042 | 0.2922 | 0.1236 | 0.1201 | 0.0000 |
| L/B | -0.1122 | -0.0229 | 0.0228 | -0.3312 | -0.3711 | -0.3162 | 0.9784 | 0.0472 | 0.2418 | 0.2816 | -0.5176 | 0.1709 | -0.1720 | 0.1705 | 0.2014 | -0.2389 | -0.1521 | -0.0725 | -0.0446 |
| FP | 0.1313 | 0.2197 | -0.0294 | 0.5617 | 0.2353 | 0.4885 | -0.9553 | -0.0498 | -0.5253 | 0.9953 | 0.4672 | 0.0246 | 0.0007 | 0.0350 | 0.0087 | -0.0554 | -0.0173 | -0.6426 | -0.1275 |
| HI | -0.0260 | 0.0893 | -0.0116 | 0.7680 | -0.4868 | -0.1532 | 1.0256 | 0.0338 | -0.0605 | 0.3702 | -0.0330 | 0.1656 | 0.0000 | 0.0307 | 0.0442 | 0.0000 | -0.0162 | -0.1948 | -0.2805 |

Residual effect: 0.1288




Fig 1: Genotypic and Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation of the Characters Studied




Fig 2: Broad Sense Heritability and Genetic Advance as Percentage of Mean of the Characters Studied





Fig 3: Correlogram of the Genotypic Correlation Coefficient of the characters studied






Fig 4: Correlogram of the Phenotypic Correlation Coefficient of the characters studied



References

- Allard, R.W., 1960. *Principles of plant breeding*. John Willey and Sons. Inc. New York, 485p.
- Bhargava, K., Shivani, D., Pushpavalli, S.N.C.V.L., Sundaram, R.M., Beulah, P. and Senguttuvel, P. 2021. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in segregating population of rice. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 12(2): 549-555.
- Burton, G.W. 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. *Proc. 6th Int. Grassland Congress*. 1: 277-283.
- Burton, G.W and Devane, E.W. 1953. Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinaceae*) from replicated clonal material. *Agronomy J.* 45: 478-481.
- Dewey, D. R. and Lu, K.H. 1959. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. *Agron. J.* 51: 515-518.
- Dey et al., 2021. Study of Coefficient of Variation, Heritability and Genetic Advance for Different Traits of Rice Genotypes Grown under Aerobic Condition. *International Journal of Bioresource and Stress Management* 2021, 12(5), 426-430. [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.23910/1.2021.2290a](https://doi.org/10.23910/1.2021.2290a).
- Dey, S., Kumar, R., Battan, K.R., Chhabra, A.K. and Reddy, A.L. 2021. Study of coefficient of variation, heritability and genetic advance for different traits of rice genotypes grown under aerobic condition. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*. 12(5): 426-430.
- Falconer, D S. 1964. *Introduction to Quantitative Genetics*. Longmann, London and New York. 300p.
- Falconer, D.S., 1967. *Introduction to quantitative genetics*, Oliver and Boys Ltd. London. 365p.
- Gayathridevi, G., Shanthi, P., Suresh, R., Manonmani, S., Geetha, S., Sathyabama, K. and Geetha, P. 2023. Genetic variability, association and multivariate analysis for yield and yield parameters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) landraces. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 14(3): 991-999.
- GoI [Government of India]. 2023. Annual Report 2022-23. Department of Agriculture and Farmers welfare, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, New Delhi, 308p.
- GoK [Government of Kerala]. 2023. A compendium of Agricultural Statistics, Kerala 2023. Statistical Wing, Directorate of Agriculture Development & Farmers Welfare, Vikas Bhavan, Thiruvananthapuram, 410p.
- Govindasamy G, Ghosh, A. K., Mahama M.K. and Dash A.B. 1973. Genetic variability and correlation studies on protein content and some quantitative characters of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Oryza*. 10:1-8.

- Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.D., and Comstock, R.E. 1955. Estimates of genetical and environmental variability in soyabeans. *Agron. J.* 47: 314-318.
- Lakshmi, M., Shanmuganathan, M., Jeyaprakash, P. and Ramesh, T. 2022. Genetic variability and diversity analysis in selected rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 13(3): 959-966.
- Lenka, D. and Mishra, B. 1973. Path coefficient analysis of yield in rice varieties. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 43(4): 376.
- Manivelan, K., Juliet Hepziba, S., Suresh, R., Theradimani, M., Renuka, R. and Gnanamalar, R.P. 2022. Inherent variability, correlation and path analysis in lowland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). In *Biological Forum—An International Journal*. 14 (2): 771-778).
- Naik, M.R., Chetariya, C.P. and Akkenapally, J.S. 2022. Characterization of the Genetic Variability in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) and its Assessment Using Principal Component Analysis (PCA). *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*. 12(10): 1257-1269.
- Nithya, N., Beena, R., Stephen, R., Abida, P.S., Jayalekshmi, V.G., Viji, M.M. and Manju, R.V., 2020. Genetic variability, heritability, correlation coefficient and path analysis of morphophysiological and yield related traits of rice under drought stress. *Chemical Science Review and Letters*. 9(33): 48-54.
- Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P. V. 1967. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*. ICAR, New Delhi, pp. 280-297.
- Panse, V.G., Sukhatme, P.V., 1957. Genetics and quantitative characters in relation to plant breeding. *Indian Journal of Genetics* 17, 312–328
- Priyanga, R.S. and Amudha, S. 2022. Studies on Variability, Association and Path Analysis in Rice Landraces of Tamil Nadu. *Madras Agricultural Journal*, 109 (4-6): 51-58.
- Singh, A.K., Dwivedi, D.K., Kumar, D., Singh, A., Dixit, S., Khan, N.A. and Kumar, A. 2023. Genetic variability, character association and path coefficient analysis in rice (*Oryza sativa*) genotypes of semi-arid region of India. *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 93(8): 844-849.
- Sivasubramanian, S. and Menon, M. 1973. Genotypic and phenotypic variability in rice. *Madras Agric. J.* 60: 1093-1096.
- Sudeepthi, K., Srinivas, T.V.S.R., Kumar, B.R., Jyothula, D.P.B. and Umar, S.N. 2020. Assessment of genetic variability, character association and path analysis for yield and yield component traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 11(01): 144-148.