

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Soil Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_121456
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Soil Chemical Properties Under Different Land Use Systems in Department of Agricultural Research
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The study results will be useful to both the farming &amp; scientific communities for different land use system have different status of soil fertility.</li> <li>2. The manuscript is gives the good information and base line data for the next researcher and reviewer related soil management</li> <li>3. Assessing soil fertility status before crop production is the best way to reduce to extra cost expenditure for fertilizer as well as to reduce environmental pollution caused by use of chemical fertilizer that means to use right rate on right time in the right places.</li> <li>4. The manuscript was given very important information to take measure to increase crop production and productivity of the land</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>It is suitable and it needs addition of area specification</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>It needs addition of some points. The results of chemical properties of each parameters was not indicated the comparison of the land use system. Which land high value than the other it not tell</b></p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>Well organized structure</b></p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>In rating method of chemical properties is different for different parameter for me it is not more sound full</b> (Ethiosis, 2014, hazalton &amp; Murphy, 2007, Olsen method) or the same filed rating by the same method is scientifically good. Some other parameter not rated</li> <li>2. <b>The number of sample used and comparison method is technically good</b></li> <li>3. <b>Using GPS to collect sample is modern and accurate</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> =</p>	<p><b>Reference a sufficient for the manuscript</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>It suitable for quality publication in language</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Damte Balcha Gadana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wolaita Sodo University Dawro Tarcha Campus, Ethiopia</b>