

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_120756
Title of the Manuscript:	A review on toxic effects of pesticides on various physiological aspects of fish
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a good review of pesticide effects on fish health. Please attached some images of fish-damaged tissue due to pesticide effects with the proper source.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the abstract part, two sentences are repeated like "in this review". Write all the sections with more detail like changes in haematology, and histology in fish due to pesticide effects.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Please explain the probable cause of haematological changes and histological changes in fish. The cause is missing. Then reader cannot connect with the aim of the paper. Change the conclusion language. it's like a generic one make it more appropriate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. -	Please omit references before 2000	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	82% if possible improve it. (abstract and conclusion)	
Optional/General comments	Omit various word from the tittle	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Soma Garani
Department, University & Country	West Bengal University of Animal and Fishery Sciences, India