

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_121535
Title of the Manuscript:	Development Of Contextual-Based Civics Learning Tools To Increase Student Learning Outcomes
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The study researchers' knowledge can be shared through this paper, and others will be able to learn from and expand on the research findings, ultimately benefiting society.</p> <p>The manuscript's development of a learning tool that will assist lecturers and students in determining the learning outcomes of the students is something I find appealing. It also attends to the requirements of the school's learning enhancement program. This document serves as a wake-up call for schools to create more educational resources to enhance students' learning.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>CONTEXTUALLY BASED CIVICS LEARNING TOOL DEVELOPMENT TO IMPROVE STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES OF STKIP YPUP</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Please include how many students and lecturers participated in your study; Also, you may include in your results the discussion that the validated test maybe used to school for assessing the student learning outcome of every student in other programs. You may add also, as to how this contextual based civic tool may help to improve the student learning outcome.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript is quite vague.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript should clearly state the research questions, hypotheses, and objectives, along with the specific areas of civics education to be enhanced and the method through which the contextual-based approach will do so. An extensive literature review that places the study within the context of the body of current knowledge will be included in the article. To show that the author is knowledgeable about the subject, it should cite earlier research on contextual learning, civics education, and associated consequences. The results should be interpreted in light of the study's goals, and the discussion should highlight the findings' relevance to the subject of civics education. It should also point out the study's shortcomings and recommend areas for more investigation.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Somehow the references are sufficient and recent, you may add more references to support your study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The article language/English is somehow suitable for scholarly communication and discussion.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christine Jill L. Algonos
Department, University & Country	Um Digos College, Philippines