

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_121977
Title of the Manuscript:	A HIDDEN TREASURE TROVE: THE CASE OF PERSISTENT PRIMARIES
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Odontomas are common lesions and largely discussed in the scientific literature.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title is good	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, the abstract must be rewritten. It must be arranged into three subsections: background, case presentation, and conclusion with lesson learned from this report.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The authors begin by defining the term hamartomas and, after that, replace it by odontomas. While it is true that <u>dental</u> odontomas are referred to as hamartomas, I prefer that they use odontomas in all the manuscript. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The big problem in this case is the lack of post operative radiograph or follow-up 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are very old; only one reference is from the last five years. The authors must add new references or replace the old ones with new ones.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Georges Aoun
Department, University & Country	Lebanese University, Lebanon