

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_122095
Title of the Manuscript:	The Tale of Warrior Gene: The Link between the MAOA-upstream Variable Number Tandem Repeat (MAOA-uVNTR) Polymorphism and Aggression, Violence
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article has relevance for Behavioural genetics. The review is well written covering several aspects of the MAO gene(Biochemistry,genetics). The tables and literature supporting the sub-section are exhaustive and up-dated.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes,(minor addition plausible)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract could be slightly modified to increase visibility and make it a bit more appealing.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The in-dept and updated literature and well organised table, makes a review reading pleasureable than onerous. Clarity and lucid language is a plus.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Italics of Gene is not done in the manuscript, hence kindly rectify this error. Few imaging(MRI) studies will enable genotype phenotype correlation and strengthen the review. Also a small reference to additive, non-additive effects of other genes on the phenotype will support the literature. The use of VNTR as evidence in court can't be generalised as each country has its own laws. Few countries still don't accept especialy with behavioural traits which have a significant envir. And gene-interaction effects. Hence this section could be re-phrased accordingly. Socio-cultural issues could be elaborated to make it more global. A note on Social stigma associated with DNA testing will add value.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kiran Kumar Hb
Department, University & Country	Neupathunga Univeristy, India