

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_122095
Title of the Manuscript:	The Tale of Warrior Gene: The Link between the MAOA-upstream Variable Number Tandem Repeat (MAOA-uVNTR) Polymorphism and Aggression, Violence
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript entitled "The Tale of Warrior Gene: The Link between the MAOA-upstream Variable Number Tandem Repeat (MAOA-uVNTR) Polymorphism and Aggression, Violence" is scientifically sound and is a vital contribution to the scientific community, more so for those working on behaviour genetics. This paper provides an in-depth review analysis of link of MAOA gene on aggression and violence. This could be very instrumental in guiding the future researches on this topic. But there is need to add contribution of environmental factors also. Add some more studies that provide detailed information about gene-environment interaction.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Need to revise the abstract. It should be more comprehensive in terms of methodology and conclusion. Need to add how many research papers are included to review this study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Need to add methodology.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript follows a logical structure typical of scientific review papers. Each section flows naturally into the next, providing a clear and coherent narrative from introduction to conclusion. Some suggestions: 1. The manuscript could benefit from a brief and some current literature in whole manuscript to better frame the review study 2. Include some studies which provide contribution of environmental factors	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need to add some recent studies	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The references in the manuscript are relevant, but some are outdated. As mentioned above, incorporating more recent studies would enhance the relevance and credibility of the review study.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript's language is clear and suitable for academic communication. The writing is well-structured, and the vocabulary fits an academic audience. However, there are a few grammatical errors and awkward phrases that could be polished for better readability and precision. Overall, the manuscript meets scholarly standards.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Annu
Department, University & Country	I.C. College of Community Science, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, India