

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_121841
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Bacteria and Heavy Metal Contamination of River Maboro, Ankpa, Kogi State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This paper is a very interesting in bacteria and heavy metal contamination of River Maboro. 2. Data was analyzed for various descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, standard error, coefficient of variation). 3. The results revealed that there was no difference in the Fe concentration in all sampling points in the studied river 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is good, no need of change	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The paper is lack of "literature review section", this sections is must for a research paper. Please include this section.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Data was analyzed for various descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, standard error, coefficient of variation). 2. The effect of anthropogenic activities also studies. 3. Bacterial contamination mostly occurred in upstream and downstream 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Since this a original research paper it needs some more references to get good clarity.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The paper is lack of "literature review section", this sections is must for a research paper. Please include this section. 2. Needs some more references to get good clarity. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mandapu.S.V.K.V.Prasad
Department, University & Country	Swarnandhra College of Engineering&Technology, India