

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_121401
Title of the Manuscript:	HEALTH STATUS OF HOUSEHOLDS AND ACCESS TO HEALTH FACILITIES IN NIGERIA: INTERROGATING THE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTORS PARTICIPATION
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>In the background, you have introduced the abbreviation PPPs, please put the full meaning in the first instance where it is used.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>The title is suitable but would have been better if it indicated the state (s), where the study was taking place e.g HEALTH STATUS OF HOUSEHOLDS AND ACCESS TO HEALTH FACILITIES IN XX STATES/ SELECTED STATES, NIGERIA: INTERROGATING THE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTORS PARTICIPATION</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>The abstract is good but has some information that doesn't need to be there. Let it be a concise summary of your work. Consider removing some sentences like "Therefore, if Nigeria is interested in the well-being of her citizens towards the provision of accessible health care, improvement in health care infrastructure,....."</b></p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>The subsections and structure are appropriate.</b></p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p><b>The methodology is an important section but in this section, it is not detailed to provide the procedures followed, including any protocols or guidelines adhered to. There is no justifying the sample size. The data collection reliable and valid instruments used is not explained. The method description should be replicable. In this case the methods are not described in detail for other researchers to replicate the study.</b></p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> -</p>	<p><b>In the reference, use the recommended referencing style. Some are not following any style, whereas others have different styles. Be consistent.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language is suitable for scholarly communication. However, consider revising the grammar, e.g. In most cases, after the commas, the writer did not space. Some words are not spaced as well.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The study is a good one and very relevant in the health systems.</p>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Eddah Kanini Karijo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kenya</b>