

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_121938
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on the Prevalence of bacterial leaf blight of rice in Andhra Pradesh and invitro evaluation of silver and copper nanoparticles against Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript reported “the effect of nanoparticles on Xoo. Copper and silver nanoparticles exhibit antimicrobial activity against Xoo”. Why does the author not test Invitro Xoo by using garbage enzyme (a fermentation product of vegetable/fruit wastes)? I prefer recycling organic material in controlling Xoo much more than using nanoparticles, that require chemicals like copper or silver. After adding the results of testing garbage enzyme on controlling Xoo, you can compare the effect of them to know which solution is the best. I think the author should test in the field also, because the practical apply is in the rice field. Sometimes, in vitro tests gave good results, but not in the rice field. The research results should be applied in the real rice field after testing invitro.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is okay, but the results are simple.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The results and discussion are too short, and simple. More research should be done.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I see the research is not mature. More research should be done before making any more suggestions or corrections. I am curious about the garbage enzyme treatment for controlling Xoo.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is understandable.	
Optional/General comments	In summary, I think the author should spend more time doing the research. This is interesting, but further research should be done before the manuscript is accepted for publication.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Phan Thi Phuong Hoa
Department, University & Country	Vietnam National University, Vietnam