

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_121413
Title of the Manuscript:	Interrelationship between social media addiction and mental health of emerging adults
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The study on the interrelationship between social media addiction and mental health among emerging adults is crucial for the scientific community. Understanding this connection can inform the development of preventive interventions, therapeutic strategies, and public health policies. Additionally, the study's potential to contribute to the growing body of knowledge on adolescent mental health is significant.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Lack of specificity: The title is broad and doesn't clearly indicate the direction of the relationship (is it one-way or bidirectional?). Consider specifying if it's about the impact of social media addiction on mental health or vice versa.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Missing key terms: The title could benefit from including keywords like "emerging adults," "correlation," or "factors influencing."</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Manuscript needs more detail in Interoductaion part and REVIEW OF LITERATURE part is missing as well</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript appears to have a solid foundation. The use of standardized instruments like the Social Media Addiction tool and the Mental Health Inventory suggests adherence to established research methodologies. Additionally, the focus on emerging adults as a specific population contributes to the relevance of the study. However, to definitively assess the scientific rigor, a deeper analysis of the research design, data analysis techniques, and interpretation of findings is necessary.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>There are total of 12 references and a solid manuscript needs at least 30-35 references to prove solidness of their research capabilities. I would suggest to add at least 15-20 references.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It can be improved.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Focus on the Research Gap: The research appears to address a relevant and timely topic. To strengthen the manuscript, clearly articulate the existing knowledge gap and how your study contributes to filling that gap.</p> <p>Theoretical Framework: Consider grounding the study in a robust theoretical framework to provide a conceptual lens for interpreting the findings. This will enhance the theoretical contribution of the research.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shama Mushtaq
Department, University & Country	G.C. Woman University Faisalabad, Pakistan