

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_122133
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY ON MOTIVATIONAL FACTORS AND THE CONSTRAINTS FACED BY DISTANCE LEARNERS OF ODL CERTIFICATE COURSE TEA CULTIVATION TECHNOLOGY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper investigates the motivations of distant learners as well as the practical constraints, such as, technical infrastructure provided by the educators and opportunities for practice. The tea cultivation technology certificate program in Tamil Nadu is selected as the test case of the paper. The research is novel in the sense that it is one of the first on the tea cultivation technology certificate programs. Findings may be significant base for further study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is based on statistical results extracted from a selected group of distant learners. The group includes enough distant learners, who works in Tamil Nadu tea plantation region, for tea cultivation technology certificate, which may benefit to the candidates in terms of income, prestigious positions in the region and more. The research is based on face-to-face or telephone interviews among randomly selected candidates for the certificate. The selection is done based on a widely accepted scientific approach in statistical studies.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The format of some tables and figures should be revised for a better visibility. This may be a result of formatting, which may become different due to the different Microsoft-office setups of computer systems.	
Optional/General comments	It is a good idea to check the whole text for possible linguistic and formatting errors.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hüseyin Canbolat
Department, University & Country	Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University, Türkiye