

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_121053
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of NAA and Zinc sulphate on Marketable Yield and Physical Parameters of Litchi (Litchi chinensis Sonn.) cv. Rose Scented
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Studying NAA's effects on Litchi provides an insight into plant hormone regulation and its role in fruits production while the research in zinc sulphate highlights the importance of micronutrients management in fruit production and tree health. The study can help scientist to develop more effective and sustainable practices for fruit production ultimately benefiting the agricultural community and consumers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There is the need that you give a brief introduction and definition of terms (NAA) Avoid the use of "ie, etc"	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study builds upon existing knowledge of plant physiology and agriculture thereby ensuring a solid foundation for the research. The researcher assesses measurable outcomes like fruit sets, yield and quality allowing for quantitative analysis and meaningful conclusion.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	fair	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dongo, E. I
Department, University & Country	Kogi State Polytechnic, Nigeria