

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_121637
Title of the Manuscript:	INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE SOURCES ABOUT COVID-19 AMONGST FINAL YEAR MEDICAL STUDENTS IN ENUGU STATE, NIGERIA: A CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript described how medical students get sources of information regarding covid-19. While this publication indicates that the internet was the source of their knowledge, I disagree with the researcher's assertion that there is a significant need to incorporate those methods professionally into medical education. I believe that textbooks should be prioritized, particularly for medical students, because not all information on the internet is accurate.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Suitable & clear</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Complete related to all research items</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Title is clear , but need operational definition of knowledge & information • In abstract , the researcher said About 65.5% felt that they have sufficient information about COVID-19 whereas 34.5% said that they do not sufficient information about COVID-19. where this tables in the results below. KEYWORDS: COVID-19, should be Medical students, Information, Knowledge, Social media, 7Covid-19. • Introduction is simple and clear but i think a paragraph about importance of social media and its effect on education should be added. • Significance of the study ;not present • Materials & methods: should be replaced by Subjects & methods as the study deals with human being (students) not materials • For data collection the researcher said that the questionnaire design was guided by previous studies done in non-African countries where is the (reference) • According sample size the researcher said the study received responses from a total of 114 compared to the tables(115), Also where sample size equation. • Validity and reliability of tools not done • Revise the percentage of pupils in table (1) concerning religion. Ethnicity, additionally the total number of students is (113), and the researcher stated in the table header (n=115) • percentage of students should be labelled on the figure • Tables of association between age and preferred social media not present • Discussion is good but the researcher do not speak about demographic data of students and not discuss his opinion of the results. also reference of discussion need to be revised • While the conclusion and recommendation are straightforward, I believe textbooks remain the most reliable source for medical students. • Ethical Approval & Consent why it written in the last after reference it should include in Ethical Consideration above 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes, but unless it is your style in reference writing, it is advisable to adopt the APA style. Also reference number (15&16 &18 &21) in discussion need to be revised. Reference (17) in discussion (This study is in contrast with a study done in Nigeria by T Dahiru et al.¹⁸ where) this number don not match the reference</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need some editing	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Manuscript is simple and clear follow scientific rules but needs some modifications	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amora Omar Ibrahim Elmowafy
Department, University & Country	Mansoura University, Egypt