

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_121505
Title of the Manuscript:	Paddy Farmers Perceptions on Climate Change and Adaptation Strategies in Pemba Island
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript has somehow provided some detailed about existing knowledge and findings and has also tried to fill a gap in current knowledge. However, the manuscript only simply focus on descriptive statistics (part 3.1) and the conclusion of part 3.2 is lack of robust and more reliable statistic techniques (OLS model, Probit Model and PSM). Both qualitative and quantitative analysis have been applied but not effective.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is article suitable</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Abstract of the article comprehensive</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>To identify the to what extend the demographic characteristics influencing the adoption of adaptation strategies in response to climate change impacts, author did not use any statistic techniques except observation. Therefore, the conclusions related to part 3.2 is unreliable. Author should run the model that most widely used approach in adaptation choice research (with multiple adaptation options) is the multinomial probit (MNP) or multinomial logit (MNL) models to see if the demographic characteristics influence on the adoption choice and how they influence. In addition, qualitative analysis data method in this manuscript is too simple and not effective. By interviewing key informant and quoted their statement in the manuscript but author did not mention who are these key informants, how many key informants and if their opinions are reliable to quote or not? Overall, almost the conclusions in this manuscript are lack of robustness and scientific clues.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are not sufficient and not recent. More updated references should be added</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English should be improved</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nguyen Thi Dieu Linh
Department, University & Country	University of Economics, Hue University, Vietnam