

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_121422</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ASSESSMENT OF WATER QUALITY INDEX OF LOKTAK LAKE</b>
Type of the Article	<b>ASSESSMENT OF WATER QUALITY INDEX OF LOKTAK LAKE</b>

**Comment [U1]:** Application of WQI for Loktak Lake

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	WQI index is an important for check whether to use community needs. Therefore, this study is an important study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Application of Water Quality Index for Loktak Lake	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	M Please change the way presenting data methodology of the study such as time duration, need to mention the parameters measured and method of water quality analysis,	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, However, discussion part is not included.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is technically sound. However, the discussion part should be mentioned to justify the results. Better to include unit of the water quality parameter after its value in the results part. use more reference in the discussion part	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Better to proofread	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

[Review Form 3](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nadeesha Dilani Hettige</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sri Lanka</b>