

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_121402
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of some essential oils against <i>Caryedon serratus</i> (Oliver) – a storage pest of Groundnut
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article makes a major contribution to the fight against groundnut bruchid. It also suggests the use of natural products for preserving groundnuts.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	the abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is scientifically valid. Contact test methods and statistical analysis using SPSS provide valid and comprehensible results. the use of natural substances as alternatives to synthetic insecticides for the protection of peanut seeds is effective.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references are not recent. the bibliography needs updating	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	El Hadji Gorgui Diouf
Department, University & Country	Cheikh Anta Diop University of Dakar, Senegal