

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_122224
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of Integrated Nutrient Management on major nutrient status and physico-chemical properties of soil in Quinoa (<i>Chenopodium quinoa</i> Willd.)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript will make scientifically proved to the community and the idea will be more sustained in the future for better improvement of technology in nutrient management	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The manuscript abstracts clearly defined the whole area of the work undertaken.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Almost all the portion of the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate but need to rectify by maintaining spacing of the pages	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, it can be scientifically proved	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes, it is sufficient but I recommend to replace the old references with latest ones which is not less than 10 years	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No such grammatical mistake is there but addition of some preposition words needs to be taken.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No major mistake is there but need to rectify and include some latest reference In my opinion, the manuscript is found to be very effective research work. Some latest reference need to be added otherwise it is very desirable. So, some minor revision needs to be taken and accept the paper.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Punabati Heisnam
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, India