

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_122224
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of Integrated Nutrient Management on major nutrient status and physico-chemical properties of soil in Quinoa (<i>Chenopodium quinoa</i> Willd.)
Type of the Article	Research Paper

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. Definitely this manuscript is useful for the scientific community. 2. As quinoa crop was nutritionally very important for diabetic's patients, and now India is the hub of this kind of patients, so much research should be conducted on this crop. 3. Again it will be helpful for further research for different combination of nutrients at different doses for higher yield.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of the article is ok.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure is appropriate but many places the writing pattern should be improved.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. If the units are given in the table, no need in the title. 2. Fertilizer recommendation should be soil test based and that should also be mentioned. 3. Comparisons of the treatments should be with the control one. 4. The writing pattern of the conclusion should be modified.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	References are sufficient but try to incorporate some recent ones on or after 2020.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Though the language is ok but can be improved to maintain the standard of the journal.	
Optional/General comments	The study is limited with only one season. It should be continued for another season to give confirmatory result.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meenakhi Prusty
Department, University & Country	Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, India