

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_121714
Title of the Manuscript:	Timing of vaccination in children after intravenous immunoglobulin therapy for Kawasaki disease
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Bravo to choose the very interesting topic IVIG impact on vaccine immunity has not been discussed thoroughly enough Vaccine efficiency following IVIG administration has not been discussed thoroughly enough Lack of international consensus	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Delete KD diagnosis, add the interval duration, add the risk of not respecting the interval Talk in general don't mention the two examples rubella and measles	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Could be better	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	You could add mechanism of action of IVIG, half life of IVIG, with references You could mention antibodies specificities in IVIG in general, with references You could add varicella, tetanus, diphtheria, chickenpox, and measles antibodies present in IVIg You could reveal the paradox of IVIG antibodies content and hampering vaccine efficiency Some sentences with no references	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Add those cited above	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Could be better	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fatiha El Hilali
Department, University & Country	Ibnou Zohr University, Morocco