

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_122074
Title of the Manuscript:	Fingermillet and Defatted Sesame Seeds Flours as Complementary Foods: Nutritional Evaluation and Protein Quality
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This is very interesting comprehensive research and would serve as a baseline for further studies on the effects of fermentation, on the nutritional quality of foods. I would highly appreciate the attempt of the authors, in the expansion of the scientific knowledge of the community – specially in industrial scale food fermentation.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes, it meets the standards... However, I mentioned some suggestions, according to my point of view - Need to polish the scientific writing of the author</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, I mentioned my comments where appropriate</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is technically sound But, during my reviewing process, I found a few points to be changed for a better outcome. So, I included them as comments and some of the places I marked them in the manuscript itself. According to my point of view, If authors could make the changes accordingly, a better version of this manuscript would be resulted. Anyhow I wish them all the best and good luck.....</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T.W.N.K. Perera
Department, University & Country	University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka